

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 4,171 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 13 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ASHLAND, WI 54806'; 'GLIDDEN, WI 54527'; 'LA CROSSE, WI' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 78 records like 'FOREST ROAD 1276'; 'FOREST ROAD 183'; 'FOREST ROAD 162'; et cetera. Those values are perfect, but 'ROAD' exists in the STREETTYPE field, which is redundant and unnecessary. Remove this value from the STREETTYPE field. • Approximately 1 record with a value of 'RIGHT OF WAY RD' and STREETTYPE field containing 'ROAD.' Remove ' RD' from the end of the STREETNAME value to remove redundancy. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 1,898 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSRPTA | |
| * PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: See comment below in AUXCLASS. |
| * AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: There may be a miscategorization issue with some tax exempt properties that requires attention. Approximately 522 records had CNTASSDVALUE = 0 and ESTFMKVALUE = 0, but AUXCLASS was <Null> and *PROPCCLASS* is populated with primarily '3' or '4.' Based on owner name values like containing words like "COUNTY" and "CHURCH," these records might be misclassified. Look into whether they should be populated with 'X3' or 'X4' in AUXCLASS. |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|--|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data | |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Your extra efforts to integrate independent municipal data for V4 are appreciated!
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 2 records with values of 'STATE HIGHWAY' in this field. The majority are records with a value of 'STH.' Per the Submission Documentation, "Usage should be consistent throughout the countywide dataset. Do not use multiple highway domain spelling conventions to designate the same particular highway type." Change 'STATE HIGHWAY' to 'STH' |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✦ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 3,652 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|--|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | • SITEADDRESS: Approximately 13,003 records with partially inaccurate SITEADDRESS values like '100 S WISCONSIN ST BLK'; '1200 CYRUS DR BLK'; '0 SOUTHBRIDGE RD'; '0 BIRCH CREEK RD', et cetera. Clean these records by determining if true ADDNUM (Address Number) values exist, and populating site address fields as appropriate. Enter an accurate/complete address in the SITEADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a accurate/complete physical street address (as the county did correctly do for V3). |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✳ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 9 records with a value of 'BLAKE ROAD EAST.' 'ROAD' should be moved to the STREETTYPE field and 'EAST' should be moved to the SUFFIX field and standardized to 'E'. • Approximately 715 records with values like 'BLACKBERRY RIDGE'; 'HERITAGE HEIGHTS'; 'POTTERS CROSSING'; 'SHEPHERDS PATH'; 'MIZAR TRACE', et cetera. For these records the STREETTYPE value is <Null>. Move STREETTYPE value to appropriate field and ensure all domains are spelled out. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✳ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: In initial data submission, data for Estimated Fair Market Value was missing. This was resolved on table join re-submit. Although this was likely due to the transition to a new software vendor, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. • Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 8,501 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓
- PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ♦
- Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- (Not administered by county)
- ✓
- Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓
- Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓
- Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓
- Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| * TAXPARCELID | • TAXPARCELID: This field must exist in the submitted dataset, however, it need not be populated. Do not provide values in TAXPARCELID field unless they are "different" from those in PARCELID field. If TAXPARCELID is the same as PARCELID, enter a true SQL <Null> for tax parcel ID. |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 127 records with partial mailing address values containing only 'TAX EX, CITY, STATE, ZIP'—such as 'TAX EX, MADISON, WI 53708'; 'TAX EXEMPT, BUFFALO CITY, WI 54622' et cetera. If a "complete" mailing address is not available for publicly-owned parcels, enter <Null>. PSTLADDRESS should contain either a complete/accurate mailing address or <Null> values for parcels without a complete mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| * ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 2 records with values of '628A' and 'L.' This field should only contain integer values. Move 'A' and 'L' into appropriate fields, according to specs laid out in the Submission Documentation. |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| * PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 2,158 records with PREFIX values like 'COUNTY RD'; 'N STATE RD'; 'US HWY', et cetera. These values do not match the acceptable statewide schema domains for this field. Ensure values meet the requirements of the PREFIX field (per Submission Documentation) and that values are consistent for the entire dataset. |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 13 records with values like 'COUNTY RD'; 'C VALLEY'; 'B TURTON', et cetera. For those with a preceding alpha character, these values should be moved to the ADDNUMSUFFIX field, and the instance of 'COUNTY RD' should be moved to the PREFIX field and standardized to the appropriate statewide schema domain. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| * ZIPCODE | • ZIPCODE: Approximately 10 records with values of '99999' or '64622.' If a full Wisconsin zip code for the mailing address of the parcel itself (NOT the owner) is unknown, enter <Null>. |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 11,743 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPI – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| * –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | • County parcel fabric contains gaps. |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names
- Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available.
- (Not administered by county)

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- * Provided .ini submission form + data
- **SUBMISSION PACKAGE:** The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting.

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• STREETNAME: Approximately 149 records with values that still have STREETTYPE values attached. Move street type values to STREETTYPE field and spell out for future data submissions.<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 'GREAT BEAR PSGE' ► spell out to "PASSAGE" and move to STREETTYPE• 'PARK ST WEST' ► spell out 'ST' to "STREET" and move to STREETTYPE; standardize 'WEST' to the statewide schema abbreviation of "W" and move to SUFFIX field• For the other records, move 'PATHWAY' and 'TRAILWAY' into the STREETTYPE field |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 3,151 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✱ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• County parcel fabric contains gaps. |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|--|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| * TAXPARCELID | • TAXPARCELID: Approximately 22,562 records with TAXPARCELID = PARCELID. Do not provide values unless they are *different* from those in PARCELID field. If TAXPARCELID is the same as PARCELID, enter a true SQL <Null> in TAXPARCELID. |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 552 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'APPLETON, WI 54915'; '-', CHILTON, WI 53014'; 'BRILLION, WI 54110'; 'CHILTON, WI 53014' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| * ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: See comment below in ADDNUM |
| * ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 206 records with values like 'W5075'; 'N7973'; 'W5008', et cetera. The ADDNUMPREFIX value is still attached to the ADDNUM value. Move these values into the ADDNUMPREFIX field. |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| * PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 1,098 records containing a generic 'HIGHWAY' designation. The more granular 'STATE HIGHWAY' or 'US HIGHWAY' designations are preferred. 'HIGHWAY' should only be used for multi-jurisdictional designations (i.e., 'US HIGHWAY' and 'STATE HIGHWAY' running concurrently, covering the same stretch of road). |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| * SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDIST: Approximately 206 records containing SCHOOLDIST values that require modification to match statewide schema domains. Those values include 'KIMBERLY SCHOOL DISTRICT' ► 'KIMBERLY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT', 'KAUKAUNA SCHOOL DISTRICT' ► 'KAUKAUNA AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT', and 'APPLETON SCHOOL DISTRICT' ► 'APPLETON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT'. Ensure these values are standardized to the statewide schema domains. |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| * CONAME – with standardized domains | • CONAME: Upon second submission, approximately 482 records did not contain values for this required field. Populate this field for ALL records in the dataset. |
| * PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | • PARCELFIPS: Upon second submission, approximately 482 records did not contain values for this required field. Populate this field for ALL records in the dataset. |
| * PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | • PARCELSRC: Upon second submission, approximately 482 records did not contain values for this required field. Populate this field for ALL records in the dataset. |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| * —Condo modeling met statewide schema | • CONDOS: In initial data submission, condos were missing tax roll data. Thank you for working to ensure the proper join of tax roll data to condo polygons and continuing to do so for future data submissions. |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names
- (Not administered by county)
- (Not administered by county)

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Note that "assessed with" parcels (the product of multiple parcels owned by the same party being assessed together) might legitimately lack values in some tax roll fields, but they should be explicitly noted as missing data in the submission form. This ensures proper treatment of "assessed with" parcels.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATA | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 17 records with partial values like 'CHIPPEWA FALLS WI 54729'; 'JIM FALLS WI 54748.' Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Clean field by removing non-streetname values (for approximately 6 records). Fully spell out street type values ("AVENUE" and "STREET") and move them to the STREETTYPE field (e.g., 50TH AVE; 196TH ST; 263RD ST; 112TH ST; ST AUGUSTINE ST). Abbreviate suffix values when present and move to SUFFIX field (e.g., '3RD AVE NORTH'). |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| * SUFFIX – with standardized domains | • SUFFIX: See comment above in STREETNAME |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 12,138 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| * NETPRPTA | • NETPRPTA: Newly created parcels/parcel splits should *not* have values in tax roll fields (like NETPRPTA; approximately 22 records). For future data submissions, ensure that values are not erroneously carried over to new parcel records. |
| ✓ GRSRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|---|
| * Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with "http://www.co.chippewa.wi.us/home/showdocument?id=294." Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel). Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| * | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 1,402 records with a mailing address values of ',,,'. Most appeared to be associated with non-parcel features such as ROW, GAP, OVERLAP, RAIL, etc. Enter <Null> in PSTLADDRESS for parcels without a *complete* mailing address, or enter a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field if one is available. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 41 records with a street name value of 'ST' and PREFIX values of 'E', 'N', 'S' and 'W.' Based on the matching values in PSTLADDRESS field, the current PREFIX values should exist in the STREETNAME field and be spelled out to 'EAST,' 'NORTH,' 'SOUTH,' and 'WEST.' Also, the current 'ST' value in the STREETNAME field should be moved to STREETTYPE field and spelled out to 'STREET.' |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 11,795 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ♦ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| * | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| * | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✱ | ADDNUM | <div>• ADDNUM: App roximately 7 records with values attached that contain an ADDNUMSUFFIX value<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Move '1/2' from ADDNUM into ADDNUMSUFFIX field• Move 'A' from ADDNUM into ADDNUMSUFFIX field</div> |
| ✱ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | <div>• ADDNUMSUFFIX: See comment above in ADDNUM</div> |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| ✱ | Provided .ini submission form + data | <div>• SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting.</div> |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| * PARCELID | • PARCELID: Many records with the flag 'Duplicate value in PARCELID Appears to be duplicate value in TAXPARCELID,' affecting approximately 1,278 records. The majority of these appear to be parcels split by roads, although some seem to be a single main parcel along with a sliver or small parcel abutting. Consider resolving these issues or dissolving on PARCELID to remove duplicate values for future data submissions. |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDAT | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| * OWNERNME2 | • OWNERNAME2: Approximately 11 records with value populated, but OWNERNAME1 is <Null>. Ensure that OWNERNAME1 field is populated first. |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 4 records with 'STH' in the PREFIX field and 'OLD 18' in the Street name field. Based on the SITEADDRESS field, this is not an active state highway, therefore, the STREETNAME value should read 'OLD STATE HWY 18' |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| * –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | • County parcel fabric contains gaps. |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|--|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data | |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

| |
|--|
| • The comments provided in this V4 <i>Observation Report</i> describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications. |
| • Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time. |
| • Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts! |

ACTION REQUIREDTO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA **PARCEL FEATURE CLASS**

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✱ | ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 31 records with values where the ADDNUMSUFFIX is still attached. Remove these values from this field and place in ADDNUMSUFFIX field. |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✱ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | • STREETTYPE: Approximately 173,256 records with values that are abbreviations—not spelled out as required by the statewide schema. For future data submissions, the <i>Data Standardize Tool</i> (www.sco.wisc.edu/parcels/tools) can help you achieve the standardization of street types. |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✱ | ZIPCODE | • ZIPCODE: Approximately 8 records with values of '0'; '06195'; and '35589'. If a full Wisconsin zip code for the mailing address of the parcel itself (NOT the owner) is unknown, enter <Null>. |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✱ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDIST: Append the words “ SCHOOL DISTRICT” to the end of values in this field. Ensure the values match statewide schema domains. |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 22,250 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ **Zoning: County general** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - ✓ **Zoning: Shoreland** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - ✦ **Zoning: Airport protection** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - ✱ **Other Layers** – with standardized file names
- (Not administered by county)
- **OTHER LAYERS:** For the V4 data submission, Dane County was the only one of all 72 counties to withhold submission of the other GIS data layers for a prolonged period of time—thus delaying payment of their WLIP Strategic Initiative grant. In the future, DOA/SCO and the Robinson Map Library look forward to receiving a timely submission of the other GIS layers.

SUBMISSION FORM & PACKAGE

- ✱ **Provided .ini submission form + data**
- **SUBMISSION PACKAGE:** The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting.

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Regarding tax roll data for the City of Madison—data for 2016 was provided in the initial county submission. The technical team acquired the 2017 tax roll data for Madison through a request made to the City Assessor/ DFaust@cityofmadison.com. Ideally, City of Madison data should be requested and integrated by the county for future data submissions.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 13,869 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 71 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'FORESTVILLE WI 54213'; 'BRUSSELS WI 54204'; 'WI 54234'; 'ROSIERE WI' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| * STATE | • STATE: Populate STATE field with "WI" for 1,644 records currently with value of <Null> |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| * IMPROVED – with standardized domains | • IMPROVED: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the IMPROVED (Improved Structure) field (approximately 2,022 records). |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| * IMPVALUE | • IMPVALUE: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the IMPVALUE (Assessed Value of Improvements) field (approximately 2,022 records). |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values of "0" for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 5,282 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ **Zoning: County general** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ **Zoning: Shoreland** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ **Zoning: Airport protection** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ **Other Layers** – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDAT | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | <div><ul style="list-style-type: none">• ESTFMKVALUE: In the initial data submission, ESTFMKVALUE (Estimated Fair Market Value) was "0" for all records in the dataset. ESTFMKVALUE is a required attribute and should be populated as appropriate, according to the schema definition.• Populate ESTFMKVALUE for all non-Ag parcels. About 1,482 records (3% of parcels) lack values (beyond the 622 records for "assessed with" parcels, which are legitimately <Null> in ESTFMKVALUE and other tax roll fields).• However, null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 2,393 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null></div> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFI | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

| | |
|---|---|
| • | The comments provided in this <i>V4 Observation Report</i> describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications. |
| • | Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time. |
| • | Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts! |

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 13,245 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 114 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'BOYD WI 54726'; 'EAU CLAIRE WI 54701'; 'MADISON WI 53700'; '00000' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 7 records with values like 'SWEETWATER CLOSE' and 'COVENTRY CLOSE' where the street type value is still attached. Move the street type value of "CLOSE" from the STREETNAME field to STREETTYPE field. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 7,172 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| * Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Your extra efforts to integrate independent municipal data for V4 are appreciated!
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| * PARCELID | • PARCELID: In initial submission, 304 had PARCELID = " " (a text string with single space). Field should be populated with either a legitimate parcel ID value, or a label if it is a non-parcel feature, per schema definitions in Submission Documentation. |
| * TAXPARCELID | • TAXPARCELID: Field must exist in the submitted dataset, however, it need not be populated. Do not provide values unless they are *different* from those in PARCELID. If TAXPARCELID is same as PARCELID, enter a true SQL<Null> in TAXPARCELID. |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| * ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 3 records with values containing extra characters. They are: '(4998)', '(5521)', '(6127)'. Delete parentheses "(" and ")" from these recordsOR populate ADDNUM with a true SQL<Null> if correct ADDNUM value is unknown. • In initial submission, there was 1 record with 'C' attached to the front of ADDNUM value. Move the value 'C' to ADDNUMPREFIX field, or take other appropriate action to remove. |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: In final submission, ~1 record with a value of 'LAKE RD SOUTH.' Elements should be broken out into their respective address element fields and standardized to the statewide schema. Move "RD" to STREETTYPE and spell out to "ROAD." Move "SOUTH" to SUFFIX field and standardize to schema abbreviation of "S" • Thank you for working to resolve issues from initial submission—such as STREETTYPE values still existing in STREETNAME, issues of PREFIX = 'CTH' and STREETNAME values spelled out (e.g., 'N' being spelled out to 'NORTH'), and a few instances of 'TOWN ROAD NORTH', 'TOWN ROAD SOUTH', etc where the 'NORTH' and 'SOUTH' should be 'N' and 'S.' Continue to ensure that values are placed in the appropriate fields and that values in the STREETNAME field are not erroneously spelled out. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels w/any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; ~771 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| * CONAME – with standardized domains | • CONAME: In initial data submission, there were 304 records with CONAME = " " (a text string with single space). This field is required to be populated for *every* record in the submitted dataset. This applies even to new parcels/splits (which legitimately lack values for tax roll attributes). ► This comment applies to: CONAME, PARCELFIPS, and PARCELSRC (below). |
| * PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| * PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names
- (Not administered by county)
- (Not administered by county)

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- As noted in Submission Documentation, it is okay for new parcels/splits to have blank fields where they lack values for tax roll attributes. (They are often designated as new parcels with a future year in TAXROLLYEAR field.) These values should be a true SQL <Null>. A true SQL <NULL> is *not* a single space of string text, nor a string of text that spells out "Null."
- The dataset submitted had numerous instances of NULL values erroneously provided as a string of text spelling out the word "NULL." Instead, populate null fields with a true SQL <Null> for future submissions. Running the *Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool* prior to submitting data can help you remove instances of invalid "blank" values.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| * OWNERNME2 | • OWNERNME2: Approximately 13 records with values in this field, but OWNERNME1 is <Null>. Ensure OWNERNME1 field is populated first for future data submissions. |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 12 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'WAUPUN, WI 53963'; 'MT CALVARY, WI 53057'; 'FOND DU LAC, WI 54935'; 'BRANDON, WI 53919'; 'CAMPBELLSPORT, WI 53010' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. • Approximately 42 instances with value = ' '. Replace these with <Null>. • Approximately 2 instances with value of <Null> erroneously provided as a string. Rather than a string of text spelling out the word "NULL," populate null fields with a true SQL <Null> for future submissions. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| * ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 550 records with value of '0' (and IMPROVED [Improved Structure] = 'NO' or <Null>). Address Number field should be set to <Null> for these records. |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 2 records with a value of 'FOREST AVE.' Per neighboring parcels, basemap, and other references, this value should be STREETNAME = 'FOREST' and, in the STRETTYPE field, the value for street type should be = 'AVENUE' (not 'ROAD' as it currently is). |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ! SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDIST: The two words " SCHOOL DISTRICT" should appear at the end of all records to adhere to statewide schema domains. For records that only contain " SCHOOL" after district name, append " DISTRICT" to end of these records. • This error has been made in prior years—please pay particular attention to this field the next time you submit data. |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| * PARCELDATE | • PARCELDATE: Records contain timestamp attached to the end of values. Remove timestamp from the end of this field for future data submissions. |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| * OWNERNME1 | • OWNERNME1: In initial data submission, OWNERNME1 and OWNERNME2 fields contained the same values. Ensure accuracy of owner name fields for future data submissions. |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 100 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ALVIN WI 54542'; 'ARGONNE WI 54511'; 'CAVOUR WI 54511'; 'HILES WI 54511'; 'MADISON WI' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| * ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: Approximately 2 records with a value of 'NONE' in this field. Replace with <Null>. |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 6 records with a value containing a PREFIX element. Remove prefix value from STREETNAME field and place in PREFIX field. Consult Submission Documentation for guidance on the use of PREFIX field to hold "COUNTY HIGHWAY" and "STATE HIGHWAY." Also note, in PREFIX field for highways, "WEST" should be abbreviated as "W" |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 769 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---|
| * PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | • PLSS: For future data submissions, submit a digital PLSS layer when one becomes available. |
|---|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|---|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDAT | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✱ | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 100 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'BEETOWN WI 53802'; 'CASSVILLE WI 53806'; 'KIELER WI 53812'; 'MUSCODA WI 53573' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✱ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 19 records require cleanup, as some secondary address numbers erroneously exist in this field. <Null> out values that are secondary address numbers. |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 2,290 records with a PREFIX value present in the SITEADDRESS field, but prefix was omitted from this individual element field (PREFIX) on submission. Ensure that PREFIX values are present in address element field for future data submissions. |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 61 records with STREETNAME = 'HWY 151.' Need to move 'HWY' into PREFIX field, change 'HWY' to 'USH' and concatenate with current directional value. Consult Submission Documentation for PREFIX guidelines as they relate to highways. • Approximately 36 records with STREETNAME value also erroneously containing STREETTYPE value and/or with STREETTYPE value in the LANDMARKNAME field. Move STREETTYPE values to the appropriate field and spell out (WAY, ST, RD, LN, TR, COVE, et cetera). |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 14,002 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✱ PARCELDATE

• **PARCELDATE:** Approximately 5,290 records contain a timestamp attached to the end of values. For these records, remove timestamp from the end of this field for future data submissions.
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✓ PSTLADDRESS
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSPRPTA
- ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ —Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| * | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 200 records with values like 'UNKNOWN BERLIN WI 54923' and 'UNKNOWN GREEN LAKE WI 54941.' We appreciate the comment in the <i>Explain-Certification.txt</i> noting that you will research and enter PSTLADDRESS for these records moving forward, but if a full value for mailing address is unavailable, please set PSTLADDRESS = <Null> for these records for future data submissions. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 30 records with a value of 'OAK TREE ACRES.' Move 'ACRES' into the STREETTYPE field. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 5,215 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| * | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “https://ecode360.com/9770570.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| * CNTASSDVALUE | • CNTASSDVALUE: In initial data submission, approximately 890 records for new parcels that had not yet been assessed erroneously had values in the CNTASSDVALUE (Total Assessed Value) field—as well as the LNDVALUE, IMPVALUE, ESTFMKVALUE, and NETPRPTA fields. New, yet-to-be-assessed parcels should *not* have values in fields like CNTASSDVALUE, LNDVALUE, IMPVALUE, ESTFMKVALUE, and NETPRPTA. |
| * LNDVALUE | • LNDVALUE: In initial data submission, approximately 241 records with a "future" TAXROLLYEAR had values in the LNDVALUE (Assessed Value of Land) field. Records with a future TAXROLLYEAR are expected to (legitimately) lack values for attributes that are from the tax roll. New parcels/splits, should *not* have values for tax roll fields like LNDVALUE. |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| * PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: In initial data submission, approximately 890 records were missing PROPCCLASS/AUXCLASS values. This might be related to the fact that some parcels have "0" as an acreage value—which is in some circumstances a legitimate value. Nevertheless, keep this in mind for future data submissions when populating PROPCCLASS/AUXCLASS. |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK • (Not administered by county)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel). Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| * OWNERNME2 | • OWNERNME2: Approximately 31 records with values in this field, but OWNERNME1 is <Null>. Ensure OWNERNME1 field is populated first for future data submissions. |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 49 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ASHLAND, WI 548060000'; 'IRONWOOD, MI 499380000'; 'MADISON, WI 53704'; 'MERCER, WI 545470000' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. • Approximately 42 instances with value = ' '. Replace these with <Null>. • Approximately 2 instances with value of <Null> erroneously provided as a string. Rather than a string of text spelling out the word "NULL," populate null fields with a true SQL <Null> for future submissions. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| * SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDIST: For all records, remove "SCH D OF " from the front of values and append " SCHOOL DISTRICT" to the end of the name provided (e.g., "SCH D OF CHEQUAMEGON" should be "CHEQUAMEGON SCHOOL DISTRICT"). The two words " SCHOOL DISTRICT" should appear at the end of all records to adhere to statewide schema domains. |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|--|
| * Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • County general zoning layer was provided by the county zoning administrator. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with "www.co.iron.wi.gov/docview.asp?docid=16951&%20locid=180." Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 8,482 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✱ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: See comment below in AUXCLASS |
| ✱ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: There may be a miscategorization issue with tax exempt properties that requires attention. Approximately 434 records with a PROPCCLASS value of '2,' '3,' or '4,' and no CNTASSDVALUE or ESTFMKVALUE values. The OWNERNME1 contains values like 'CITY OF BLACK RIVER FALLS'; 'SQUAW VALLY LUTHERAN CHURCH', et cetera. Look into whether the current values in PROPCCLASS might actually belong in the AUXCLASS field instead. |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| * | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 3 records with values of 'UNKNOWN ADDRESS' and 'UNKNOWN ADDRESS WATERLOO WI 53594'. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| * | ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 8 records with values of 'E' or 'N'. Based on the SITEADDRESS values, these are PREFIX values. Move these values to the appropriate field. |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 93 records with values like 'CHEROKEE PATH'; 'OXBOW BEND'; 'GREY FOX RUN', and 'KORTH HIGHLANDS' where the street type value is still attached. Move the street type value from the STREETNAME field to STREETTYPE field. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 43 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| * | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “http://www.jeffersoncountywi.gov/County%20Board/Ordinances/Zoning%20Ordinance%20Chapter%2011.pdf.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| * | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| * | Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The required .ini Submission Form was missing from the initial data submittal. It was provided upon follow-up request from DOA. Please verify that ALL information is complete and included before submitting. |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| * | ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: Approximately 1 record with a value of 'W9755'. The '9755' should be placed in the ADDNUM field. |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 9 records with values like 'CEMETERY RD'; 'BIG BAY RD'; 'THREE RIVERS BLVD', et cetera. Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 6,212 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| ♦ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| * | Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✱ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | • STREETTYPE: Approximately 51 records with a value of 'HIGHWAY.' This value is associated with the PREFIX field and should designate 'CTH' or 'STH' depending on the highway type. Ensure the appropriate PREFIX value is populated for highways, according to the specs of the Submission Documentation. |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 3,037 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ShorelandZoning/Programs/programs.html.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel—in this case within the new Village of Salem Lakes). Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✱ | ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: Approximately 5 records with a value of "<Null>" spelled out as a string of characters as opposed to a true SQL <Null>. These were noted in <i>Explain-Certification.txt</i> as "Bad characters found in ADDNUMPREFIX have null values and are false positives," but were in fact a true error. Replace with true SQL <Null>. Rather than a string of text spelling out the word "NULL," populate null fields with a true SQL <Null> for future submissions. Running the Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool prior to submitting data can help you remove these instances. |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 5,204 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✱ PSTLADDRESS
 - **PSTLADDRESS:** In initial data submission, due to a concatenation issue, there was a spacing problem in the mailing address field. Some of the records were missing a space between the PREFIX, SUFFIX, UNITTYPE, or UNITID value and the postal PLACENAME in the PSTLADDRESS element. This issue was resolved upon re-submit.
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSRPTA
- ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ —Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ✦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - (Not administered by county)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✓ PSTLADDRESS
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSRPTA
- ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ –Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK • (Not administered by county)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ! | STREETNAME | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• STREETNAME: Approximately 2,733 records had highway prefix values attached to the value in this field ("CTH" or "STH"). "Highway" is most often a PREFIX. These prefixes should be moved to the PREFIX field. Those with directionals should be concatenated in PREFIX field (e.g., "E STH").• Approximately 709 records with a misspelling in the STREETNAME field, when compared to the SITEADDRESS field. This might be the result of moving the PREFIX directional value from this field at some point. Correct the STREETNAME values so they match what is provided in the SITEADDRESS field and are accurate.• For both comments above, these records were flagged with "AddressElementError" in the output of the Validation and Submission Tool. For future data submissions, either rectify flagged errors prior to submitting, or provide a note in the submission form for legitimate data that is flagged. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| * | ZIPCODE | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ZIPCODE: Approximately 2 records with values of '44280' and '24424' —which are not Wisconsin zip codes. Change to zip code for the parcel's site address (NOT the owner, whose zip code is provided in PSTLADDRESS and may be out-of-state). |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ESTFMKVALUE: Note for future submissions—ESTFMKVALUE values should be included for parcels containing PROPCCLASS 5 and 5M. However, null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Ag (PROPCCLASS= 4; ~1,229 records).Parcels/portions ofparcels that are Agricultural are assessed at“use value,” therefore,ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| * | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage/web document that contains descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with " http://www.co.langlade.wi.us/i/f/files/Langlade%20County%20Ordinance%20Code%20Book%20Chapter%2017.pdf." Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records. |
| * | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| * | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission, and to integrate municipal data. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✱ | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 63 records with values like 'TOWN 31 RANGE 05 E SECTION 14 QUARTER SW QUARTERQUARTER SW, CORNING, WI 54452 ' ; 'TOWN 35 RANGE 07 E SECTION 34 QUARTER NW QUARTERQUARTER NE, KING, WI 54455 ' , et cetera. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 8 records containing values that were slightly mis-parsed, including 'OLD HWY W' and 'OLD COUNTY A.' All of these elements should be in the STREETNAME field. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 1,229 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ⬠ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ⬠ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- As noted in the *Explain-Certification.txt* file, 6,521 records with both AUXCLASS and PROPCCLASS populated with <Null>, above and beyond GAPS, OVERLAPS, etc. Ensure these values are populated where feasible for future data submissions.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| * | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 62 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'COLLINS WI 54207'; 'MARIBEL WI 54227'; 'WHITELAW WI 54247'; 'WI', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 7 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| * | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: Approximately 127 records with a space delimiting multiple values as opposed to a comma. Ensure multiple PROPCCLASS codes are delimited with a comma for all records. |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓
- PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓
- Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓
- Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓
- Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓
- Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓
- Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Instances of the string '<Null>' exists in various places through out the dataset. Running the *Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool* prior to submitting data can help you remove these instances. Be sure to include '<Null>' in the list of values to be replaced with a true SQL <Null>.
- Please continue to work with the **City of Manitowoc** and **City of Two Rivers** to contribute current, complete parcel/tax roll data, so that the county can integrate and submit a complete, comprehensive county parcel dataset. Your extra efforts to integrate this independent municipal data are appreciated!
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ⚠ PSTLADDRESS | <div><div>• PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 138 records with values containing portions of legal descriptions such as 'Town 29 Range 07 E SECTION 02, QUARTER NW WUARTERQUARTER NW, BROWKAŰ, WI, 00000.' Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a "complete" mailing address. Enter <Null> if mailing address is not available.</div><div>• This error has been made in prior years—please pay particular attention to this field the next time you submit data.</div></div> |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ ESTFMKVALUE | <div><div>• ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 6,307 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null></div></div> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPI – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ **Zoning: County general** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ⚠ **Zoning: Shoreland** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ⚠ **Zoning: Airport protection** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ✓ **Other Layers** – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✓ PSTLADDRESS
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSRPTA
- ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ –Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ◆ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ✱ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available.
- ◆ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - (Not administered by county)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| * PARCELDATE | • PARCELDATE: Approximately 1,024 records with a value of '12:00:00 AM.' Convert these values to a true SQL <Null> if an accurate PARCELDATE value is not known. |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 38 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'MONTELLO WI 53949'; 'OXFORD WI 53952'; 'PACKWAUKEE WI 53953', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| * ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 18 records with values like 'W549'; 'N6775'; '222', et cetera. These appear to be secondary address numbers based on the values in the SITEADDRESS field. Remove these values from the ADDNUMSUFFIX field and ensure consistency with specs in the Submission Documentation. |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 2 records with values of '2ND AVE' and 'MALLARD CT.' Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 3,721 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | • Congratulations, it looks like you've completed the digitization of the county's parcels since V3! |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|---|
| * Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: Although the initial data submission did include a file (<i>ValidationSummary.txt</i>), the required .ini Submission Form was missing from the initial data submittal. It was provided upon follow-up request from DOA. Please verify that ALL information is complete and included before submitting. |
|--|---|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Instances of the strings '<Null>' and 'NULL' exist in various places through out the dataset. Running the *Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool* prior to submitting data can help you remove these instances.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate PREFIX field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✱ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | • STREETTYPE: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate STREETTYPE field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✱ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | • SUFFIX: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate SUFFIX field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | • IMPROVED: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate IMPROVED field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✱ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate PROPCCLASS field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✱ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: This field was populated with coded domains—rather than statewide schema flattened domain values. For future data submissions, populate AUXCLASS field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | –Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓
- PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✱ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “http://menomineewi.clients.dmistudios.com/i/f/file/Building%20and%20Zoning/Zoning%20Ordinance%202017%20with%20updates%20in%20secs%208%20and%2033.pdf.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓
- Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Note on records outside county boundaries -- Approximately 159 records provided are outside of the Menominee County boundaries and are provided by the county in which they exist. Remove these records to prevent inappropriately stacked parcel geometries from existing.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 50 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'WHITEFISH BAY WI 53217'; 'MILWAUKEE WI 53233-1425'; 'WI 00000', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 105 records with values like '116TH ST'; 'ACHILLES ST'; 'MUNICIPAL SQ'; 'RIVERS BND', et cetera. Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| * UNITID | • UNITID: Approximately 4 records with values of 'PACKARD'; 'CUDAHY'; 'COLLEGE' and 'EDGERTON.' These values are not related to the UNITID and the SITEADDRESS field is <Null> for these records. Set values such as these to <Null>. |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSRPTA | |
| * PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: In initial data submission, all 11,879 records with a value in AUXCLASS (Auxiliary Class of Property—for tax exempt parcels) also had a PROPCCLASS value of "7"—indicating "Other" for class of property. Tax exempt parcels should be denoted as either PROPCCLASS or AUXCLASS—not both. |
| * AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: For all records with this field populated, it was populated with a descriptive value—rather than the required domains. For future data submissions, populate AUXCLASS field according to statewide schema specifications. |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| * —Projection met statewide schema | • PROJECTION: The initial data submission had a projection issue. For future data submissions, re-project parcels from native projection to statewide schema projection *prior to* field mapping or pushing into the provided statewide schema template. Use the <i>Parcel Schema Field Mapping Guide</i> to ensure projection issues will not result. |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|--|
| * PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | • PLSS: No PLSS points were submitted with the initial V4 submission. This was resolved upon re-submit. For future data submissions, continue to submit PLSS corner data according to Submission Documentation. |
|---|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Regarding the City of Milwaukee tax roll data, in the initial data submission, the City of Milwaukee parcels showed an incorrect tax roll year (of 2018, when 2017 was the correct value—as the assessment cycle lags a year behind). Please ensure correct tax roll year values as you integrate the data.
- We appreciate your extra work with work municipalities, so that the county can integrate and submit a complete, comprehensive county parcel dataset. We understand that there are currently logistical obstacles to doing this, but the goal should be for Milwaukee County to eventually submit a completely integrated database of the most current finalized tax roll year data for all of the municipalities.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| * PARCELID | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PARCELID: Approximately 1 record with a carriage return or new line present. This is what was causing the 'Bad character found in PARCELID' flag from the Validation Tool. Resolve by opening an editing session, placing cursor in the cell, and deleting carriage return/new line.Thank you for letting us know in the submission form that a dissolve on the PARCELID field would resolve the 'Appears to be a duplicate PARCELID value' flags. |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 25 records with a string value of '<Null>'. Populate this field with a true SQL <Null> if a complete mailing address is unavailable. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| * PREFIX – with standardized domains | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PREFIX: Approximately 13 records with a value of 'CTH'. This is an acceptable domain value, but 1,951 other records have a value of 'COUNTY HIGHWAY'. Ensure that domain usage is *consistent* throughout the entire dataset when designating the same highway types, as the Submission Documentation specifies. |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOODIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOODISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | <ul style="list-style-type: none">ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 9,437 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 804 records where STREETNAME value was erroneously spelled out (e.g., "S" replaced with "SOUTH," as though it was falsely interpreted as a directional instead of a letter designation street name for a County Trunk Highway). Correct these records as appropriate for future data submissions. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 6,802 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| * | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• STREETNAME: Street name values require some clean-up, especially for those containing street type values of 'KOVE', 'END', 'RIDGE', and 'WAY'—values such as these should be moved out of STREETNAME into the STREETTYPE field.• Approximately 3 records with STREETNAME of 'CHICK-A-DEE PEAK RD'— remove ' RD' from the STREETNAME field• Approximately 94 records with STREETNAME = 'THE POINT ROAD WEST' or 'THE POINT ROAD EAST'<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Move the SUFFIX direction into SUFFIX field and standardize to statewide schema domains ('E' or 'W')• Move 'ROAD' into the STREETTYPE field• STREETNAME field should only end up with 'THE POINT' in it |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✱ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

| | |
|---|--|
| • | The comments provided in this V4 <i>Observation Report</i> describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications. |
| • | Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time. |
| • | Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts! |

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| * OWNERNME2 | • OWNERNME2: Approximately 74 records with values in this field, but OWNERNME1 is <Null>. Ensure OWNERNME1 field is populated first for future data submissions. |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 30 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip, or 'NA, NA, NA zip'—such as 'NA, NA, NA 12345'; 'NEW LONDON, WI 54961'; 'ONEIDA, WI 54155'; 'SEYMOUR, WI 54165'; 'SHIOCTON, WI 54170' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| |
|--|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 11 records with missing values (appearing as ' , '). Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. Convert incomplete PSTLADDRESS values to <Null>. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| * ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: Approximately 7 records with values in this field that are not Address Number Prefix values (e.g., '705,743,745;', '431,441;', '348or'; '344or'; '340or'; '336or'; and '202;'). Clean and remove such values for future data submissions. |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 2,502 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|--|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| * Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

| |
|--|
| • The comments provided in this V4 <i>Observation Report</i> describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications. |
| • Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time. |
| • Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts! |

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✱ | ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 1 record with a value of '306 A', which contains an ADDNUM value and an ADDNUMSUFFIX value. Move ADDNUMSUFFIX value, 'A', to the appropriate field. |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 6 records with values like 'RUSTAD LN'; 'MADALYN COURT'; 'BOGUS RD'; 'MERCER LN', et cetera. Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out. |
| ✱ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | • STREETTYPE: Approximately 1 record with a value of 'LN'. Spell this value out to 'LANE'. |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✱ | UNITTYPE | • UNITTYPE: Approximately 1 records with a value of 'D'. Based on the SITEADDRESS value, this value appears to be part of the STREETNAME value. Move this value to appropriate field. |
| ✱ | UNITID | • UNITID: Approximately 22 records with values like '(KRAEMER)'; '(TOWER)'; 'SCHOOL', and 'UNIT A'. For those values that are not true UNITID values, set value equal to a true SQL <Null>. For records like 'UNIT A', move 'UNIT' into the UNITID field. |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✱ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDIST: Approximately 5,625 records with a value of 'DURAND SCHOOL DISTRICT' that needed slight modification to meet statewide schema domains. Change 'DURAND SCHOOL DISTRICT' ► 'DURAND-ARKANSAW SCHOOL DISTRICT' Ensure school district values are standardized to statewide schema domains. |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 5,625 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | —Projection met statewide schema | • PROJECTION: Parcel feature class defined as required statewide schema projection, but upon initial inspection, parcels showed up in southeastern Wisconsin. It is suspected data was pushed into statewide schema template without re-projecting. For future data submissions, re-project parcels from native projection to statewide schema projection *prior to* field mapping or pushing into the provided statewide schema template. Use the Parcel Schema Field Mapping Guide to ensure projection issues will not result. |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names
- (Not administered by county)
- (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- (Not administered by county)

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- There were instances of the string '<Null>' exists in throughout the dataset. Running the *Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool* prior to submitting data can help you remove these instances. Be sure to include '<Null>' in the list of values to be replaced with a true SQL <Null>.
- Approximately 506 records with the 'Duplicate value in PARCELID' flag from the Validation Tool. The majority of these appear to be split by roads or other similar conditions. Consider dissolving on PARCELID to remove duplicate PARCELID values and create single multi-part polygon records. Consult SCO for technical guidance if needed.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| * PARCELID | PARCELID: Approximately 5 records with a value of '<Null>' spelled out as a string of characters as opposed to a true SQL <Null>. Replace with either the appropriate label for non-parcel features according to the statewide schema definition of PARCELID, a valid PARCELID value, or a true SQL <Null>. |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 9,826 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ –Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ◆ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 7 records with PREFIX value of 'HIGHWAY.' For these records change 'HIGHWAY' to 'STATE HIGHWAY' to maintain consistency throughout the county dataset, as required by the statewide schema specs. |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 10,127 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> • In initial data submission, approximately 275 records with a “future” TAXROLLYEAR had values in the ESTFMKVALUE (Estimated Fair Market Value) field. Records with a future TAXROLLYEAR are expected to (legitimately) lack values for attributes that are from the tax roll. New parcels/splits, should *not* have values for tax roll fields. |
| ✱ | NETPRPTA | • NETPRPTA: In initial data submission, approximately 275 records with a “future” TAXROLLYEAR had values in the NETPRPTA (Net Property Tax) field. Records with a future TAXROLLYEAR are expected to (legitimately) lack values for tax roll attributes. |
| ✱ | GRSPRPTA | • GRSPRPTA: In initial data submission, approximately 275 records with a “future” TAXROLLYEAR had values in the GRSPRPTA (Gross Property Tax) field. Records with a future TAXROLLYEAR are expected to (legitimately) lack values for tax roll attributes. |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “www.co.polk.wi.us/vertical/Sites/%7BA1D2EAAA-7A29-46D6-BF1A-12B71F23A6E1%7D/uploads/Ordinance_ShorelandProtectionZoning.pdf.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA’s schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel). Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✱ | PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 7 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ALMOND WI 54909'; 'NELSONVILLE WI 54458'; 'STEVENS POINT WI 54481', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 20 records with values like 'CUSTER DR'; 'PENNY LAKE RD'; 'RYANS WAY', et cetera. Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 26 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel). 233 records had values in the NETPRPTA/GRSPRPTA fields and 151 in ESTFMKVALUE field. Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | • SCHOOLDISTNO: Approximately 302 records with a value of '840'. Per the Submission Documentation, this field should contain 4 digits. We suspect that the leading "0" was dropped. Ensure that all values in this field are 4 digits in length. Maintain leading zeros in SCHOOLDISTNO field. |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 3,328 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | ASSDACRES | • ASSDACRES: The initial data submission had large number—24,543 records—missing ASSDACRES (with a value of "0") and DEEDACRES (<Null> for entire dataset). This was resolved with the submission of a joinable table. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| * TAXPARCELID | • TAXPARCELID: ~56,403 records with TAXPARCELID = PARCELID. Do not provide values unless they are *different* from those in PARCELID field. If TAXPARCELID is the same as PARCELID, enter a true SQL <Null> in TAXPARCELID field. |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 243 records with partial mailing address values or 'UNKNOWN' values —such as '*****ADDRESS UNKNOWN*****', '***ADDRESS UNKNOWN**', 'WATERFORD, WI 53185;', 'UNION GROVE, WI 531820000', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| * ADDNUM | • ADDNUM: Approximately 103 records where submitted values for ADDNUM and ADDNUMSUFFIX were <Null>, but were present in SITEADDRESS field. Ensure that all individual address attribute fields are populated where appropriate. |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| * PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: ~27 records with a value of 'HWY' and STREETNAME with a value of 'OLD 11' or 'OLD A'. This might be the result of a mis-parse of these elements. All of the elements should exist in the STREETNAME field as 'OLD HWY 11' or 'OLD HWY A'. • Approximately 132 records with PREFIX values of 'USH', but these appear to be a substring of the STREETNAME values 'BUTTON BUSH DR'; 'BRUSH DR' and 'BUSHNELL RD'. Ensure that values are appropriately parsed and placed in appropriate attribute fields. • Approximately 1248 records containing a generic PREFIX value of 'HWY'. The more granular 'CTH', 'STH' or 'USH' designations are preferred. 'HWY' should only be used for multi-jurisdictional designations (i.e., 'US HIGHWAY' and 'STATE HIGHWAY' running concurrently, covering the same stretch of road). |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 172 records with STREETTYPE values still attached to the STREETNAME values or current STREETTYPE values not full spelled out. Ensure that STREETTYPE values are in the appropriate field and full spelled out. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| * IMPVALUE | • IMPVALUE: Approximately 1 record with a value of '-10000'. We assume this was simply an entry error. Ensure that negative values do not exist in this field for future data submissions. |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: For the City of Racine, in initial submission, there were 26,886 records with a value of <Null> for Estimated Fair Market Value. Obtain, integrate, and submit City of Racine data for future data submissions. We appreciate your extra work with the City, so that the county can integrate and submit a complete, comprehensive county parcel dataset. |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ **Zoning: County general** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- * **Zoning: Shoreland** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available.
- ♦ **Zoning: Airport protection** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• (Not administered by county)
- ✓ **Other Layers** – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 9,958 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ⚠ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✱ | TAXROLLYEAR | • TAXROLLYEAR: Of 481 parcels with a TAXROLLYEAR value of "2018," 299 had values in fields like CNTASSDVALUE, LNDVALUE, ESTFMKVALUE, NETPRPTA/GRSPRPTA, PROPCCLASS, etc. Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✱ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 2 records with a value of '2-JAN'. Based on the values in the SITEADDRESS field, these must have gotten auto interpreted from '1/2' to '2-JAN' at some point in the join process. Ensure appropriate values exist in field. |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 7,124 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ♦ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with "https://tinyurl.com/hp38bf8." Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ✱ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. For all records, a LINK field was populated with "https://tinyurl.com/heoo95q." Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records. |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- **Carryover caution:** The submitted V4 data contained old values erroneously leftover (often from a parent parcel). Parcels annotated with future taxroll years or values of <Null> should *not* have values in tax roll fields. For future data submissions, ensure that values are not mistakenly carried over.
- Your extra efforts to integrate independent municipal data for V4 are appreciated!
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 50 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'BRUCE WI 54819' ; 'LADYSMITH WI 54848'; 'SHELDON WI 54766', et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| * ADDNUMPREFIX | • ADDNUMPREFIX: Approximately 1 record with a value of 'UNASSIGNED.' Ensure that values such as this are not placed in the ADDNUMSUFFIX field. |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| * PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 1,939 records with PREFIX values present in the SITEADDRESS field, but <Null> in this field. Ensure that PREFIX values are populated appropriately. |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 4,971 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|--|
| * Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • LINK field (with link to a valid webpage/web document that contains descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes) existed on initial submission, but had a field length of 50—which meant that the URL was truncated. Ensure the field submitted is long enough to accommodate URL and prevent truncation of values in the future. |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✱ OWNERNME2
 - **OWNERNME2:** Approximately 4 records with values in this field, but OWNERNME1 is <Null>. Ensure OWNERNME1 field is populated first for future data submissions.
- ✓ PSTLADDRESS
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSPRPTA
- ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ —Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 149 records with values like '1ST ST'; '2ND ST'; 'CLOVER RD', et cetera. Move the street type values to the STREETTYPE field and spell out to match statewide schema domains. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| * | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 138 records with values of 'NORTH' or 'SOUTH'. These directionals should be abbreviated to 'N' or 'S' per the Submission Documentation. |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| * | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 1,950 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| * | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| * PARCELDATE | • PARCELDATE: Approximately 504 records contain a timestamp attached to the end of values. Remove timestamp from the end of this field for future data submissions. |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ! PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 613 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'BONDUEL, WI 541070000'; 'ATHLETIC FIELD, SHAWANO, WI 541660000'; 'DUMPING GROUNDS, SHAWANO, WI 541660000'; 'WILDLIFE AREA, , ' etc. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. • Clean PSTLADDRESS field as appropriate for future data submissions. Where possible, the full mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field should not contain elements of OWNERNME1/OWNERNME2. • This error has been made in prior years—please pay particular attention to this field the next time you submit data. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 1 record with a value of '4TH & MAIN.' This is an intersection of two roads, not a true STREETNAME value. Select a single street name value to correct for future data submissions. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Provide ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that are Undeveloped/Agricultural Forest (PROPCCLASS = 5 and 5M; approximately 2,533 records). |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ **Zoning: County general** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- * **Zoning: Shoreland** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available.
- ♦ **Zoning: Airport protection** – with DESCRIPTION/LINK

• (Not administered by county)
- ✓ **Other Layers** – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- The dataset submitted for V4 had numerous instances of erroneous trailing spaces (on values in the STREETNAME field). Running the *Null Fields and Set to UPPERCASE Tool* (<https://www.sco.wisc.edu/parcels/tools>) in the future can help remove instances of trailing spaces.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 174 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ADELL WI 53001'; 'CASCADE WI 53011'; 'OOSTBURG WI 53070'; 'PLYMOUTH WI 53073'; '(FUTURE ST) GLENBEULAH WI 53023' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address.• Approximately 530 records with value of ' ' (an empty string with a single space). Unless a complete mailing address can be entered, these records should be converted to <Null>. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| * STREETNAME | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• STREETNAME: Approximately 653 records requiring attention or correction.<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 595 with values of '67 NORTH', 'A EAST', 'A NORTH', 'A SOUTH' and 'A WEST'. These appear to be directionals (not part of the actual street name). Where appropriate, remove from STREETNAME field, move to SUFFIX field and standardize to schema domains of 'N', 'E', 'S', 'W'.• 54 records with values of '28&144', 'A&J', 'A&P', and 'C&J'. Per the Submission Documentation, "A forward slash ("/") is the preferred route delimiter, or a hyphen ("-") is an acceptable delimiter." Replace "&" with "/" for all records.• 4 records with STREETNAME values still containing STREETTYPE values attached. The abbreviations for street type should be removed from the STREETNAME field. |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 6,869 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ◆ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| * Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| * Provided .ini submission form + data | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

| | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The comments provided in this V4 <i>Observation Report</i> describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.• Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts! | |
|--|--|

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| * TAXROLLYEAR | • TAXROLLYEAR: Upon second submission, thank you for populating TAXROLLYEAR with a "future" year value—to designate newly created parcels. This helps to signal which parcels should legitimately lack values in tax roll fields and is good practice for future data submissions where possible. |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| * ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 13 records with a value of 'E' existing in this field as well as in the PREFIX field. Based on the SITEADDRESS values, it appears that these values were erroneously placed in the ADDNUMSUFFIX field. Clean field as appropriate for future data submissions. |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| * AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: Upon second submission, thanks for your attention to parcels being classified as tax exempt where appropriate (by way of values in the AUXCLASS field to designate tax exempt parcels). |
| * ASSDACRES | • ASSDACRES: Note that _Assessed Acres_ for parcels with less than 1 acre may legitimately contain a "0" value for acreage. See Submission Documentation for details. |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| * GISACRES | • GISACRES: Thanks for your continued attention to the accuracy of values in the GIS Acres field for future data submissions. |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- For future submissions, please note that "assessed with" parcels (the product of multiple parcels owned by the same party being assessed together) should be explicitly noted as missing data in the submission form.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| * IMPROVED – with standardized domains | • IMPROVED: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the IMPROVED (Improved Structure) field (approximately 2,566 records), and not a value of "NO" |
| * CNTASSDVALUE | • CNTASSDVALUE: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the CNTASSDVALUE (Total Assessed Value) field (approximately 2,566 records), and not a value of "0" |
| * LNDVALUE | • LNDVALUE: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the LNDVALUE (Assessed Value of Land) field (approximately 2,566 records) |
| * IMPVALUE | • IMPVALUE: Tax exempt parcels—designated as tax exempt by a value in the AUXCLASS field—should have value of <Null> in the IMPVALUE (Assessed Value of Improvements) field (approximately 2,566 records) |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS containing values of "4"; approximately 6,786 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at "use value," therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| * Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| * Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 2 records with value of '5TH ST'. Move 'ST' into the STREETTYPE field and spell out to 'STREET' • Approximately 194 records with PREFIX value of 'CTH' and STREETNAME = 'SOUTH', 'NORTH', 'WEST' or 'EAST'. These are *not* directionals and thus should not be spelled out. Correct these highway street name values. |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCLASS = 4; approximately 10,246 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ♦ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✱ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 7 records with values of 'N'; 'S' or 'W'. Based on the SITEADDRESS values, these values are directionals and should be placed in the PREFIX field. Ensure values are located in the appropriate attribute field. |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 1 record with a value of 'HWY 61.' Remove prefix value from STREETNAME field and place in PREFIX field. The more granular 'US HIGHWAY' designations are preferred. 'HWY' should only be used for multi-jurisdictional designations (i.e., 'US HIGHWAY' and 'STATE HIGHWAY' running concurrently, covering the same stretch of road). |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | CNTASSDVALUE | • CNTASSDVALUE: Approximately 1 record with a value of '1.36303E+07'. Somehow this value got pushed into scientific notation. For future data submissions, ensure that scientific notation does not exist within the submitted dataset. |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 8,395 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✱ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | • County parcel fabric contains gaps. |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ **PLSS** – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

✓ **Provided .ini submission form + data**

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA’s schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Approximately 1,945 records missing PREFIX values (based on values in SITEADDRESS field). Highways ("CTH", "STH", "USH") belong in PREFIX field. See Submission Documentation for guidance on highway prefix values. |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Upon initial submission, shoreland zoning layer had less coverage than that of the previous year. Also, zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains authoritative descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records for future data submissions. |
| ✓ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 Observation Report describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✱ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 4 records with a value of '800' and ADDNUM (Address Number) is <Null>. Move these values to ADDNUM field. |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 6,544 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | —Projection met statewide schema | • PROJECTION: On initial data submission, parcels were skewed several meters from the geometries available for comparison via the county’s webmapping service. There were also issues with the submitted V3 geometries. • For future data submissions, re-project parcels from native projection to statewide schema projection *prior to* field mapping or pushing into the provided statewide schema template. • As the project tool does not default to the desired transformation, take extra care to ensure the correct transformation is selected: ▶ NAD_1927_to_NAD_1983_NADCON + NAD_1983_To_HARN_Wisconsin • Consult the <i>Parcel Schema Field Mapping Guide</i> for guidance and to ensure projection issues will not result: www.sco.wisc.edu/parcels/tools/FieldMapping/Parcel_Schema_Field_Mapping_Guide.pdf |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: 2 records with a value of 'AIRPORT DR.' 'DR' should be moved to STREETTYPE field and spelled out to "DRIVE" |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 3,432 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ✱ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Work toward zoning GIS layer and submit when one becomes available. |
| ✱ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | • OTHER LAYERS: In the initial data submission, the Other_Layers features classes were missing spatial reference information. For future data submissions, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|---|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| * OWNERNME2 | • OWNERNME2: Approximately 19 records with values in this field, but OWNERNME1 is just a blank string (' '). Ensure OWNERNME1 field is populated first for future data submissions. |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 75 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'ALLENTON WI 53002, , ' ; 'COLGATE, WI 530170000'; 'NEWBURG, WI 530600000'; 'WEST BEND, WI 53090' et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. • In initial submission, 581 records (outside of the City of West Bend) contained some type of owner name attached to the beginning of the PSTLADDRESS value, which were corrected upon re-submit. Thank you for your continued attention to such issues for future data submissions. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Provide ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that are Undeveloped/Agricultural Forest (PROPCCLASS = 5 and 5M; approximately 1,327 records in initial data submission). |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| |
|---|
| ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ♦ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| |
|--|
| ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data |
|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Thank you for the extra effort to integrate data for the City of West Bend. Please continue to be mindful of special municipal integration issues in the future, and make a note of any special municipal situations in the submission form.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✱ PSTLADDRESS
 - **PSTLADDRESS:** Approximately 1 record with a string value of '<Null>'. Populate this field with a true SQL <Null> if a complete mailing address is unavailable.
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✱ STREETNAME
 - **STREETNAME:** Approximately 10 records with a value of 'STH' still attached to the STREETNAME value. Remove prefix value from STREETNAME field and place in PREFIX field.
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✱ ZIP4
 - **ZIP4:** Approximately 40,755 records that contain both the ZIPCODE value as well as the ZIP4 value in this field. Ensure that the ZIPCODE values are placed in the appropriate field and ZIP4 field is populated appropriately.
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✱ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
 - **SCHOOLDISTNO:** Approximately 1 record with a value not on the school district domain list. Ensure values match statewide schema domains, adhering to the Wisconsin School District domain list for future data submissions.
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSPRPTA
- ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ —Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✓ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ✦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
 - (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statute 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

- ✓ PARCELID
- ✓ TAXPARCELID
- ✓ PARCELDATE
- ✓ TAXROLLYEAR
- ✓ OWNERNME1
- ✓ OWNERNME2
- ✓ PSTLADDRESS
- ✓ SITEADDRESS
- ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX
- ✓ ADDNUM
- ✓ ADDNUMSUFFIX
- ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ STREETNAME
- ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains
- ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains
- ✓ LANDMARKNAME
- ✓ UNITTYPE
- ✓ UNITID
- ✓ PLACENAME
- ✓ ZIPCODE
- ✓ ZIP4
- ✓ STATE
- ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains
- ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains
- ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains
- ✓ CNTASSDVALUE
- ✓ LNDVALUE
- ✓ IMPVALUE
- ✓ FORESTVALUE
- ✓ ESTFMKVALUE
- ✓ NETPRPTA
- ✓ GRSRPTA
- ✓ PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains
- ✓ ASSDACRES
- ✓ DEEDACRES
- ✓ GISACRES
- ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains
- ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains
- ✓ –Projection met statewide schema
- ✓ –County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps)
- ✓ –Condo modeling met statewide schema

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

- ✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

- ✓ Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK
- ◆ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted)
- ◆ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK • (Not administered by county)
- ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

- ✓ Provided .ini submission form + data

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this V4 *Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | |
|--|--|
| ✓ PARCELID | |
| ✓ TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ OWNERNME2 | |
| * PSTLADDRESS | • PSTLADDRESS: Approximately 80 records with partial mailing address values containing only city, state, and zip—such as 'BERLIN WI 54923' ; 'POY SIPP WI 54967'; 'EXPERIMENT FARMS HANCOCK WI 54943'; et cetera. Mailing address values should not be incomplete. Clean these records by entering a complete mailing address in the PSTLADDRESS field, or enter <Null> for parcels without a *complete* mailing address. |
| ✓ SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ ADDNUM | |
| * ADDNUMSUFFIX | • ADDNUMSUFFIX: Approximately 9 records with values of 'S'; 'N'; 'E' or '546'. Based on the SITEADDRESS values, it appears these directionals were placed in the wrong field. Ensure these values are placed in the PREFIX field. The value of '546' appears to be a secondary address number that should be removed. |
| ✓ PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ STREETNAME | |
| ✓ STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ UNITID | |
| ✓ PLACENAME | |
| ✓ ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ ZIP4 | |
| ✓ STATE | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ FORESTVALUE | |
| * ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 5,341 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ GRSPRPTA | |
| * PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: Some records were missing PROPCCLASS/AUXCLASS values. For future data submissions, please plan to make a note of any missing data in the submission form. |
| ✓ AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ GISACRES | |
| ✓ CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELFIIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

✓ PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|--|---|
| * Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • Zoning layer was missing the required DESCRIPTION and/or LINK information. Zoning layers must include either: a) a field with a DESCRIPTION of the class name for each zoning feature, or b) a field or metadata populated with a LINK to a valid webpage or web document that contains descriptions of the specific zoning class or all zoning classes within the jurisdiction. For all records, a LINK field was populated with “https://library.municode.com/wi/waushara_county/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=COOR_CH58ZO.” Ensure either DESCRIPTION or LINK exists and is populated for all records. |
| ♦ Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ♦ Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|--|--|
| * Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|--|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✓ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | STREETNAME | |
| ✓ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✱ | CNTASSDVALUE | • CNTASSDVALUE: Approximately 119 records where CNTASSDVALUE < LNDVALUE. Upon investigating, it appears that FORESTVALUE was subtracted from CNTASSDVALUE, when it was potentially intended to be subtracted from LNDVALUE. Take note so this can be addressed for future data submissions. |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✓ | ESTFMKVALUE | |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✓ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | |
|---|---|
| ✓ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| ✱ | Provided .ini submission form + data | • SUBMISSION PACKAGE: The geodatabase initially submitted was empty. This may have been a result of the fact that the Validation and Submission tool for V4 did not automatically populate an output feature class when run the last time in Final Mode. In any case, please verify that ALL information is complete before submitting. |
|---|---|--|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!

ACTION REQUIRED

TO MEET STATEWIDE SCHEMA

PARCEL FEATURE CLASS

WITH TAX ROLL DATA

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ✓ | PARCELID | |
| ✓ | TAXPARCELID | |
| ✓ | PARCELDATE | |
| ✓ | TAXROLLYEAR | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME1 | |
| ✓ | OWNERNME2 | |
| ✓ | PSTLADDRESS | |
| ✓ | SITEADDRESS | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMPREFIX | |
| ✓ | ADDNUM | |
| ✓ | ADDNUMSUFFIX | |
| ✱ | PREFIX – with standardized domains | • PREFIX: Prefix values missing for some records. See full comment below in STREETNAME. |
| ✱ | STREETNAME | • STREETNAME: Approximately 36 records with values in this field, but nothing in the PREFIX or STREETTYPE fields. See SITEADDRESS for missing prefix and street type elements, and place them in the appropriate fields. |
| ✱ | STREETTYPE – with standardized domains | • STREETTYPE: Street type values missing for some records. See full comment above in STREETNAME. |
| ✓ | SUFFIX – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | LANDMARKNAME | |
| ✓ | UNITTYPE | |
| ✓ | UNITID | |
| ✓ | PLACENAME | |
| ✓ | ZIPCODE | |
| ✓ | ZIP4 | |
| ✓ | STATE | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDIST – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | SCHOOLDISTNO – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | IMPROVED – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | CNTASSDVALUE | |
| ✓ | LNDVALUE | |
| ✓ | IMPVALUE | |
| ✓ | FORESTVALUE | |
| ✱ | ESTFMKVALUE | • ESTFMKVALUE: Null out ESTFMKVALUE values for parcels that have any portion designated Agricultural (PROPCCLASS = 4; approximately 6,628 records). Parcels/portions of parcels that are Agricultural are assessed at “use value,” therefore, ESTFMKVALUE should be <Null> |
| ✓ | NETPRPTA | |
| ✓ | GRSPRPTA | |
| ✱ | PROPCCLASS – with standardized domains | • PROPCCLASS: Where single values exist for both fields, coded domains carried over from native data. Remove coded domain values and populate PROPCCLASS field according to statewide schema specifications for future data submissions. |
| ✱ | AUXCLASS – with standardized domains | • AUXCLASS: Where single values exist for both fields, coded domains carried over from native data. Remove coded domain values and populate AUXCLASS field according to statewide schema specifications for future data submissions. |
| ✓ | ASSDACRES | |
| ✓ | DEEDACRES | |
| ✓ | GISACRES | |
| ✓ | CONAME – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELFIPS – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | PARCELSRC – with standardized domains | |
| ✓ | —Projection met statewide schema | |
| ✓ | —County parcel fabric was complete (lacked gaps) | |
| ✓ | —Condo modeling met statewide schema | |

OTHER LAYERS – PLSS

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| ✓ | PLSS – PLSS corner data was submitted |
|---|---------------------------------------|

OTHER LAYERS – RML

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| ◆ | Zoning: County general – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Shoreland – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Unchanged from previous year, not submitted) |
| ◆ | Zoning: Airport protection – with DESCRIPTION/LINK | • (Not administered by county) |
| ✓ | Other Layers – with standardized file names | |

SUBMISSION

FORM & PACKAGE

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| ✓ | Provided .ini submission form + data |
|---|--------------------------------------|

MISCELLANEOUS

- The comments provided in this *V4 Observation Report* describe deviations from the statewide schema that require your attention. In order for your county to meet the Searchable Format requirement listed under state statue 59.72(2)(a), you will need to make the corrections noted on this report—as well as the V1, V2, and V3 Observation Reports—and ensure that the datasets submitted in the future exactly match DOA's schema specifications.
- Remember, one way to make the annual submission process easier for you is to submit feedback to DOA/SCO at any time.
- Thanks for your work to prepare your data submission. End-users of the statewide parcel layer will appreciate your efforts!