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## Highlights

## State Projections, 2010-2040

$\checkmark$ Wisconsin's population in 2040 is projected to be nearly $6,500,000$, a gain of more than 800,000 people, or 14 percent, from 2010.
$\checkmark$ Each decade will be marked by specific demographic patterns:

- 2010-2020:
--Net migration, after being slightly negative in the first five years, returns to a strong net gain in the latter half of the decade, matching the state's pattern of the 1990s.
--Births remain well ahead of deaths, providing a solid component of natural increase to the state's population, accounting for more than twothirds of the decade's gain.
--The total population will grow more than 315,000, nearly equaling the 2000-2010 numeric growth of 323,000 .
- 2020-2030:
--Net migration will continue to be strongly positive, producing nearly one-half of the decade's increase in population.
--The leading edge of the Baby Boom reaches age 80 in mid-decade. Even with improvements in life expectancy, the size of the "Boomer" cohort will lead to an inevitable increase in deaths. While the number of births will still grow, it will not keep pace with the rise in deaths, thus leading to a reduction in the natural increase component.
--The total population will grow more than 370,000, the largest decadal change since the 1990s.
- 2030-2040:
--Deaths among the Boomers will continue to rise. The number of births will increase only slightly. Natural increase, while remaining positive, will decelerate rapidly.
--Net migration is expected to also lose pace after 15 years of strong growth.
--The total population will grow by 115,000 for the decade.
$\checkmark$ Across the full 30 years:
- The preschool- and school-aged populations-ages 0 through 17-will decrease slightly from $1,339,500$ in 2010 to $1,311,500$ in 2015 , then grow steadily to a peak of $1,390,000$ in 2035. At $1,381,000$ in 2040, this age group will have a net gain of 3.1 percent from the beginning to the end of the projection period.
- The school-aged population alone-ages 5 through 17-will follow a similar pattern: decline from 981,000 in 2010 to 962,500 in 2015, then a gradual increase to $1,012,500$ at 2035 . At $1,007,500$ in 2040 , the net
gain across the 30 years will be 2.7 percent.
- The traditional working-age population-ages 18 through 64-will rise modestly from $3,570,000$ in 2010 to $3,603,000$ in 2020, then begin a slow decline during the 2020 s and 2030s to $3,575,000$ in 2040 , resulting in a 0.1 percent increase across time.
- The elderly population-age 65 and over-will increase rapidly in every five-year interval, from 777,500 in 2010 to $1,535,500$ in 2040, nearly doubling in 30 years.
- The very elderly population-age 85 and over-will rise steadily from 118,500 in 2010 to 145,500 in 2025 , then nearly double to 283,500 in the following fifteen years. From 2010 to 2040, this age group will increase 140 percent.
- The state's population of centenarians is expected to increase from approximately 1,200 in 2010 to 3,800 in 2040.
- The shares of three broad age groups will change across the 30-year time span in this manner:
--Ages 0-17: from 23\% in 2010 to $21 \%$ in 2040
--Ages 18-64: from $63 \%$ in 2010 to $55 \%$ in 2040
--Ages 65 \& over: from $14 \%$ in 2010 to $24 \%$ in 2040
- The state's median age is projected to rise from 38.4 years in 2010 to 42.4 in 2040. In comparison, the Census Bureau projects the national median will rise from 37.7 to 40.4 years across the same period.
- Life expectancy at birth will rise from 77.3 years at 2010 to 81.5 years in 2040 for males and from 82.0 years at 2010 to 85.7 years in 2040 for females. Wisconsin's life expectancies will continue to outpace those predicted for the national population.


## County Projections, 2010-2040

$\checkmark$ Across the entire 30-year period, 57 of Wisconsin's 72 counties are projected to increase in population. Of these, 25 are expected to exceed the state's growth rate of $14.1 \%$ from 2010 to 2040.
$\checkmark$ As the population ages, more Wisconsin counties are projected to move from the condition of natural increase (more births than deaths) to natural decrease (more deaths than births). The projections indicate that, while 18 counties experienced natural decrease in the 2000s, the number will rise to 29 in the 2010s, 33 in the 2020s, and 45 in the 2030s.
$\checkmark$ As the state's net migration flow improves in future years, counties with positive gains in migrants are projected to increase from 49 in the 2000s to 64 in the 2010s and 69 in the 2020s. As net migration slows again in the 2030s, 54 counties will still have positive in-migration.
$\checkmark$ Saint Croix County is projected to be the top percentage gainer -41 percentin the state. Dane County is predicted to be the top numeric gainer-adding almost 119,000 people-over 30 years.
$\checkmark$ Buoyed by strong natural increase, Milwaukee County's population is expected to grow over time and exceed 1 million around 2035. It share of the state's total will remain close to its current ratio of 1 in 6 residents.

## Municipal Projections, 2013-2040

$\checkmark$ Of the state's 1,852 current municipalities, $1,300(70 \%)$ are projected to gain population through 2040 and approximately 540 (29\%) are projected to lose population. (The balance are expected to have the same population at 2040 as at 2010.)
$\checkmark$ In aggregate, cities will contain the largest number and share of state residents at 2040 ( 3.5 million, $54 \%$ ). Villages are predicted to experience a greater percentage growth than cities and towns over the 27 -year period, of $22 \%$, and will have approximately 1.1 million inhabitants at 2040 . Towns are projected to have 1.9 million residents, an increase of $14 \%$ from 2013, but their share of the state's population will remain at $29 \%$.
$\checkmark$ The state's largest city, Milwaukee, is projected to gradually gain population and reach a total of 627,400 in 2040. The second largest city, Madison, is projected to have the largest numeric gain over 27 years-43,150-to reach 281,150 in 2040.
$\checkmark$ Kenosha is projected to gain enough residents by 2020 to supplant Green Bay as the state's third largest city. In addition, Waukesha is predicted to surpass both Appleton and Racine to become the fifth largest city at 2030.

[^0]
## Assumptions of the Projections

The projections that Demographic Services Center has produced over the past 40 are referred to as "baseline projections." That is, the predictions of the population of the state and its constituent areas are based on the primary assumption that past demographic and economic patterns, on a large scale, will hold true into the future.

This baseline framework guides our thinking in specific ways. For example, it is presumed that there will be no substantial shifts in the state's economy (e.g., the emergence of extensive extractive industries, such as oil or gas, which would change the patterns of in- and out-migration) or natural or man-made disasters that would greatly affect the populace. As another example, if it is noted that the working-age population of a county is declining over time, no attempt is made to maintain a certain ratio of potential workers to children and retirees.

Population projections are not a statement of what will happen, but an inference of what might happen, IF past patterns and probable future trends hold true.

The specific projections model that Demographic Services uses for the state and its counties is patterned upon the cohort-component method. This methodology takes a base period experience of fertility, mortality and migration (for this release, the 2000 2010 intercensal period) and modifies the age- and sex-specific rates for each of these components, based on indicators provided by federal sources, going forward into the future. In other words, a basic assumption is that "As goes the nation, so goes Wisconsin." Historical Wisconsin data-extending back 30 years or more-also influence the shaping of future rates, particularly in the realm of migration.

Similarly, the municipal projections rely on historic patterns; specifically, the growth rates of individual communities since 1990, with greater weight given to recent change than distant change.

While other U.S. states have used projections models that incorporate employment forecasts-specifically, the need or demand for workers in relationship to supply-it has been found that the cohort-component model, with its focus on basic demographic events, is the most effective for Wisconsin.

## Past Experience, Projected Future: The State's Population Change

Wisconsin's population change during the 2000-2010 decade can be viewed as two distinctly different five-year periods. Based on Demographic Services' annual estimates, the state's growth of 221,000 for 2000-2005 nearly matched the 1995-2000 change of 230,000 , and the percentage gain was a solid $4.1 \%$, or an average of about $0.8 \%$ per year. However, the slowing of the housing construction market and the recession that began in late 2007 led to a stall of the state's growth. For the second

| Wisconsin's Population, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1980-2010, at 5-Year Intervals |  |  |  |
| Year | Population | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| 1980 | $4,705,642$ | -- | -- |
| 1985 | $4,771,758$ | 66,116 | 1.4 |
| 1990 | $4,891,769$ | 120,011 | 2.5 |
| 1995 | $5,134,123$ | 242,374 | 5.0 |
| 2000 | $5,363,715$ | 229,572 | 4.5 |
| 2005 | $5,584,522$ | 220,807 | 4.1 |
| 2010 | $5,686,986$ | 102,464 | 1.8 |

half of the decade, the total population increase fell to 102,000 , or $1.8 \%$; in the last three years, the state likely experienced net out-migration (i.e., more residents moving out than moving in).

Still, for the decade as a whole, the state gained approximately 323,000 residents, for a decadal percent change of 6.0 percent. The state added 80,000 inhabitants through net migration (compared to 228,000 in the 1990s and a net out-migration of $-127,000$ in the 1980s). Natural increase (births minus deaths) provided a gain of 243,000; by comparison, natural increase was 244,000 in the 1990s and 313,000 in the 1980s.

The population projections in the near term reflect the probability that the state's economy will begin to improve during the current five-year period, increasing job growth and thus leading to net migration turning from negative to positive in 2014 and 2015. Net migration is then expected to increase steadily through 2020. In addition, births-which, like migration, have experienced a recessionary dip recently, to below 70,000 statewide per year-will "bounce back," exceeding 350,000 for the five-year period of 2015-2020. For the entire decade, overall growth will not be much different from the 2000-2010, and can be thought of as a mirror reflection of that period. (Each of the demographic compo-nents-births, deaths and migration-will be discussed in greater detail in separate sections that follow.)

Beyond 2020, growth is projected to be strongest in the 2020-2030 period. Migration should still remain positive, births will continue to exceed deaths substantially-at

| Wisconsin's Projected Population, <br> 2010-2040, at <br> 5-Year Intervals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Projected <br> Population | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| 2010 | $5,686,986$ | -- | -- |
| 2015 | $5,783,015$ | 96,029 | 1.7 |
| 2020 | $6,005,080$ | 222,065 | 3.8 |
| 2025 | $6,203,850$ | 198,770 | 3.3 |
| 2030 | $6,375,910$ | 172,060 | 2.8 |
| 2035 | 6476,270 | 100,360 | 1.6 |
| 2040 | $6,491,635$ | 15,365 | 0.2 | least for the first five years-and overall growth is expected to be more than 370,000 , or $6.2 \%$

Like the United States and much of the developed world, Wisconsin in the 2020s will begin facing the demographic inevitability of the Baby Boom (persons born 1946 through 1964) reaching the ages when mortality rates accelerate. The "leading edge" of the Boomer generation will be 75 in 2021. In recent history, and projected through 2020, Wisconsin has maintained and will maintain a relatively steady natural increase (births minus deaths) in the range of 22,000 to 26,000 annually. In particular, the number of deaths has been remarkably stable, ranging from only 44,000 to 48,000 annually from 1993 through 2011. While births are projected to continue to rise numerically through the entire projections period (primarily due to the increasing population), the number of deaths will begin to climb rapidly after 2020, passing the annual thresholds of 50,000 during 2020-2025, 55,000 during 2025-2030, 60,000 during 2030-2035 and 65,000 during 2035-2040.

In short, natural increase during the 2030s will be reduced substantially. In addition, after fifteen years of solid gains through net in-migration, the state's migration rate is expected to slow. Nonetheless, the state is projected to gain more than 115,000 residents from 2030 to 2040 , or $1.8 \%$.

## Components of Population Change: Births, Deaths and Migration

As mentioned earlier, the projection of Wisconsin's population relies on three demographic categories: fertility, mortality, and migration. Base rates for each of these components were calculated and then carried forward into the future based on national forecasts and historical experience. Then the population was aged forward, being exposed, in effect, to the projected rates for each 5 -year age and sex cohort. The demographic components are discussed in detail below.

## Births (Fertility)

The fertility, or birth, rate of a population can be measured and expressed in a number of ways. A common metric is the total fertility rate or TFR. Across time, the number of births per female can be tracked through women's fertile years to compute a cohort-based TFR. However, a similar period TFR can be calculated for a limited time frame for all women as a whole, using current age-specific fertility rates as a basis. In short, a period TFR is a synthesized one-number estimate of the average number of children a woman would bear if she completed her reproductive period at the current age-specific rates. The period TFR is useful for sketching a geographic area's fertility rate at particular points in history, or as a comparison among geographies (e.g., different counties or states).

Since the early 1970s, Wisconsin's total fertility rate has remained relatively steady. Following the Baby Boom (generally defined in the United States as the period from 1946 through 1964), the state's TFR fell from its peak of more than 4 children per woman to less than 2 per woman in 1975.

Wisconsin's fluctuations in TFR also track the national pattern quite well across time. The effect of the two recessions in the past decade-around 2001-2002 and 2007-2009-can be seen in declines in the fertility rates during those times, in the graph of single-year TFRs from 1995

through 2010.


Data Sources: WI Dept. of Health Services, National Center for Health Statistics

In creating future fertility rates for Wisconsin (or any state, the U.S., or most developed countries, for that matter), one must countervail this recent downward turn related to the 2007-2009 Great Recession. Recent academic research indicates that
 the current low period of fertility (and births) is a situation of delayed, but not foregone, child-bearing. In Wisconsin's case, it is expected that the fertility rate and number of births will increase again as the state's economy improves. The fertility rate is likely to return to pre-recession levels within the first five years of the projections period, then increase at a gradual pace through the remainder of the projections' time frame.

Underlying the total fertility rate are agespecific fertility rates (ASFRs), which are calculated and forecast for women, usually in five-year age groups, in their "fertile" years. Of particular note in Wisconsin is that, for the past two decades, the ASFRs for younger women-ages 10-14 through 25-29-have been decreasing and those for older women-
 ages 30-34 and higherhave been increasing. Hence, in projections mode, even though the overall fertility rate is increasing, the age-specific rates for younger women should show a continued downward pattern and for older women an ongoing upward pattern.

What do these assumptions and calculations mean for the number of projected births? After an initial decrease in the first five-year period, the expected increase in fertility rates, intersecting with a projected larger fertile female population over time, will increase the number of births gradually for most of the projections period.


## Deaths (Mortality)

Like fertility, there are useful summary measures for discussing mortality. The most common single-number metric is life expectancy at birth, which is usually calculated separately for men and women because each sex faces different mortality risks across their lifetimes. Similar to the total fertility rate, life expectancy is a synthesized onenumber estimate based upon the mortality rates (or, conversely, survival rates) of agespecific cohorts over a defined period of time, such as a year or decade.


Wisconsin's recent history in life expectancy is a positive one. Over the past three decades, the state has seen steady improvement in the survivability of both men and women. In addition, the gain in life expectancy for males has progressed at a faster rate than for women, so that the gap between the sexes has decreased from nearly 7 years to about 5 years. Similar patterns have been seen at the national level as well. Finally, Wisconsin's life expectancies, relative to the U.S. values, have been higher across time. At the midpoint of the past decade, the state's life expectancies were 1.31 years higher than the nation's for men and 0.96 years higher for women.

Looking toward future decades, it is likely that the state will continue to outpace the national life expectancies. Using the Census Bureau's 2008 national projections as a guide, age-specific survival rates were calculated and then re-summarized to corresponding life expectancy values. As the graph on the next page indicates, the difference between Wisconsin's and the United States' projected life expectancies will widen slightly over the 2010 - 2040 period; the state's advantage for men will rise from 1.3 to 1.6 years, for women from 1.0 to 1.2 years.


The leading edge of the Baby Boom will reach age 80 in 2026. Even with improvements in life expectancy, the sheer size of the "Boomer" cohort will lead to an inevitable increase in deaths, particularly across the final 15 years of the 2010-2040 projections period. The graph at right illustrates the projected increase in deaths for Wisconsin residents who were born in the 1946 - 1964 period. Across the 30-year projections, deaths among this cohort (persons of ages of 46-64 in 2010, approximately) will almost quintuple by the 2035-2040 interval.

This demographic inevitabilitythe aging and eventual mortality of the Baby Boom generationwill impact the numeric and percentage growth of Wisconsin in the future.


Population growth consists of two basic elements: natural increase-births minus deaths-and migration. While the number of births will still grow over the next 30 years (illustrated on page 8), it will not keep pace with the rise in deaths, thus leading to a reduction in the natural increase component.


In short, natural increase's contribution to Wisconsin's population change will decline across time, to-in the final 5-year interval-roughly one-third of the numeric level seen in the 2000-2010 period.


## Migration

The measurement of migration is best understood as a "net" process. That is, people migrate into an area over a period of time, and others move out of that same area; the net gain or loss due to migration is the result of the in-flow minus the out-flow. These latter gross measures (in- and out-migration) are usually difficult to ascertain, unlike births and deaths that are recorded as official vital events. Thus, net migration is calculated through a residual process: it is the difference between total population change and natural increase.

Wisconsin's net migration, in total, has varied extensively across the past three decades. After a decade of negative net migration in the 1980s-related in large part to the "Rust Belt" recession in the early part of the decade-migration rebounded strongly into positive territory in the 1990s. Net migration remained robust through the early part of the 2000s, but began to turn negative in the latter part of the decade, again traceable to an economic recession.

Net migration also follows a particular pattern based on age and sex. In Wisconsin, recent
 decades have been marked by net gains of young people ages 0-4 through 15-19 (the latter group being affected by the influx of out-of-state students attending Wisconsin's many universities and colleges), out-migration in the post-college cohorts ages 20-24 and 25-29 (sometimes through 30-34), and then gains in "young families" cohorts starting with ages 30-34 or 35-39. Adult migration tends to remain positive, but tapering, until about age 60; beyond that age-early retirees onward-migration tends to be neutral, slightly higher in some decades and slightly lower in others. The graph at the top of the next page shows the age-sex net migration rates for the state in the past decade. This pattern, or "signature," tends to hold across time: in decades with strong positive net gain, all of these rates will rise, usually with the strongest increases in the young-adult categories; in decades of net out-migration, all of these rates will fall, with the largest drops occurring among younger adults.


Decadal net migration by age produces a signatural pattern. When annual values of net migration-based on Demographic Services Center's forty years of estimates-are analyzed, a cyclical or periodic shape is apparent.


For this set of state population projections, this cyclical pattern was extended 30 years into the future. For the past six years, Wisconsin has been in a migration "trough," not unlike the early 1980s. However, the net out-flow appears to have "bottomed out." A gradual return to positive net migration is projected to occur as the economy improves. Subsequently, the migration component is forecast to be strongly positive for the three five-year periods from 2015 to 2030, similar in numbers to the 1990s. Then, following the cyclical pattern, net migration will "cool off" in the 2030-2040 decade. Nevertheless, over the entire 30-year period, Wisconsin is projected to gain nearly 300,000 residents through migration.

*Net migration for 2000-2005 and 2005-2010 is estimated because mid-decade $4 / 1 / 2005$ population is estimated.

## Age Distribution of the Population

Although the state's total population is expected to grow by 14 percent-and more than 800,000 residents-over the 30 -year projection period, the change will be much greater in certain age groups and much lower in others. In particular, shifts in the age distribution will be heavily concentrated in the older age categories.

The adjoining table illustrates the Census 2010 and projected 2040 residents by significant age categories, and the projected numeric and percentage change of each group. It is clear that, while growth in the population below

| Wisconsin Population, 2010 Census and <br> 2040 Projection, by Age <br> Groups |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age Group | Census <br> 2010 | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Num. <br> Change | Pct. <br> Change |
| $0-4$ | 358,443 | 373,940 | 15,497 | $4.3 \%$ |
| $5-17$ | 981,049 | $1,007,370$ | 26,321 | $2.7 \%$ |
| $18-24$ | 549,256 | 563,995 | 14,739 | $2.7 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | $1,447,360$ | $1,493,595$ | 46,235 | $3.2 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | $1,573,564$ | $1,517,370$ | $-56,194$ | $-3.6 \%$ |
| $65-84$ | 658,809 | $1,251,765$ | 592,956 | $90.0 \%$ |
| $85 \&$ over | 118,505 | 283,600 | 165,095 | $139.3 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 6 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 9 1 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 4 , 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 \%}$ |

age 65 will be relatively flat, the number of "young elderly" (ages 65-84) will almost double, and the "old elderly" (ages 85 and over) will nearly increase one and one-half. As indicated in the discussion on mortality and migration above, this growth in the elderly population will be due almost solely to the aging of the existing state's residents into these older age cohorts.

Finally, consolidating the age groups into three broad categories-under 18, 18 through 64, 65 and older-allows a comparison of the proportion of the population that each group formed at 2010 and is projected to form at 2040.



| Wisconsin Population, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$, by | Broad Age Groups |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Census <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |  |  |  |
| $0-17$ | $1,339,492$ | $1,311,425$ | $1,338,370$ | $1,366,010$ | $1,385,735$ | $1,390,055$ | $1,381,310$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 6 4}$ | $3,570,180$ | $3,576,670$ | $3,602,780$ | $3,580,325$ | $3,565,855$ | $3,577,580$ | $3,574,960$ |  |  |  |
| 65 \& over | 777,314 | 894,920 | $1,063,930$ | $1,257,515$ | $1,424,320$ | $1,508,635$ | $1,535,365$ |  |  |  |

The share of the population ages $0-17$ will remain fairly similar, declining only a few percentage points and, numerically, growing only slightly from 1.339 million to 1.381 million. The share of 18-64 year olds is projected to drop more than seven percentage points and, numerically, barely growing from 3.570 million to 3.575 million. Finally, the share of the population age 65 and over will gain ten percentage points and, numerically, increase from 777,000 to 1.535 million. The number of elderly Wisconsin residents is forecast to exceed the number of children at some point during the latter half of the 2020s.

## Wisconsin Population Projections, Data Tables

Total Population by 5-Year Age Groups

| Age Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Census } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 358,443 | 348,765 | 367,375 | 374,170 | 378,340 | 377,720 | 373,940 |
| 5-9 | 368,617 | 363,655 | 364,545 | 382,055 | 387,965 | 387,985 | 382,295 |
| 10-14 | 375,927 | 377,655 | 383,845 | 382,700 | 399,955 | 401,440 | 396,070 |
| 15-19 | 399,209 | 379,425 | 392,775 | 397,510 | 395,015 | 408,260 | 404,610 |
| 20-24 | 386,552 | 380,885 | 373,460 | 384,870 | 388,465 | 381,420 | 388,390 |
| 25-29 | 372,347 | 370,675 | 376,555 | 367,990 | 378,155 | 377,090 | 365,050 |
| 30-34 | 349,347 | 368,245 | 377,935 | 382,245 | 372,535 | 379,075 | 373,355 |
| 35-39 | 345,328 | 349,490 | 379,710 | 388,135 | 391,530 | 377,460 | 379,205 |
| 40-44 | 380,338 | 343,535 | 358,305 | 387,720 | 395,265 | 394,665 | 375,985 |
| 45-49 | 437,627 | 375,320 | 349,630 | 363,245 | 392,195 | 395,540 | 390,445 |
| 50-54 | 436,126 | 431,060 | 376,700 | 350,300 | 363,770 | 390,595 | 391,455 |
| 55-59 | 385,986 | 427,445 | 425,420 | 371,900 | 346,125 | 359,210 | 385,420 |
| 60-64 | 313,825 | 371,940 | 414,895 | 413,495 | 362,275 | 337,175 | 350,050 |
| 65-69 | 227,029 | 295,185 | 352,625 | 394,550 | 394,370 | 345,885 | 322,205 |
| 70-74 | 173,467 | 207,400 | 272,405 | 326,790 | 366,910 | 367,960 | 323,370 |
| 75-79 | 141,252 | 150,335 | 182,195 | 240,540 | 290,250 | 327,625 | 329,970 |
| 80-84 | 117,061 | 113,175 | 122,575 | 149,890 | 199,680 | 242,920 | 276,220 |
| 85-89 | 75,603 | 77,980 | 77,200 | 84,965 | 105,640 | 142,595 | 175,915 |
| 90-94 | 33,113 | 38,845 | 42,065 | 43,580 | 49,025 | 60,845 | 81,480 |
| 95-99 | 8,610 | 10,430 | 12,835 | 14,575 | 15,380 | 17,495 | 22,365 |
| 100\& up | 1,179 | 1,570 | 2,030 | 2,625 | 3,065 | 3,310 | 3,840 |
| Total | 5,686,986 | 5,783,015 | 6,005,080 | 6,203,850 | 6,375,910 | 6,476,270 | 6,491,635 |

Male Population by 5-Year Age Groups

| Age Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Census } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 183,391 | 178,310 | 187,960 | 191,315 | 193,460 | 193,040 | 191,205 |
| 5-9 | 188,286 | 186,000 | 186,555 | 195,530 | 198,365 | 198,270 | 195,375 |
| 10-14 | 192,232 | 192,975 | 196,650 | 195,955 | 204,695 | 205,265 | 202,355 |
| 15-19 | 204,803 | 194,170 | 201,605 | 204,465 | 203,060 | 209,510 | 207,125 |
| 20-24 | 196,897 | 194,615 | 190,970 | 197,235 | 199,595 | 195,560 | 198,340 |
| 25-29 | 189,349 | 188,565 | 192,585 | 188,360 | 194,090 | 193,835 | 186,770 |
| 30-34 | 178,120 | 186,840 | 192,285 | 195,620 | 190,730 | 194,425 | 191,570 |
| 35-39 | 174,619 | 177,620 | 192,660 | 197,480 | 200,285 | 192,990 | 194,060 |
| 40-44 | 191,738 | 173,475 | 182,405 | 196,990 | 201,305 | 201,930 | 192,180 |
| 45-49 | 218,539 | 188,940 | 176,835 | 185,250 | 199,570 | 201,690 | 199,800 |
| 50-54 | 218,303 | 214,725 | 189,470 | 177,105 | 185,400 | 198,640 | 199,430 |
| 55-59 | 192,952 | 213,200 | 211,105 | 186,515 | 174,550 | 182,775 | 195,830 |
| 60-64 | 155,756 | 184,820 | 205,685 | 204,040 | 180,780 | 169,355 | 177,580 |
| 65-69 | 109,168 | 144,745 | 173,050 | 193,360 | 192,490 | 170,915 | 160,440 |
| 70-74 | 81,067 | 97,920 | 131,135 | 157,705 | 177,030 | 177,095 | 157,770 |
| 75-79 | 62,181 | 67,525 | 82,715 | 111,770 | 135,455 | 153,180 | 154,190 |
| 80-84 | 47,549 | 46,955 | 52,045 | 64,605 | 88,400 | 108,385 | 123,810 |
| 85-89 | 26,326 | 28,655 | 29,070 | 32,925 | 41,765 | 58,240 | 72,675 |
| 90-94 | 9,226 | 11,755 | 13,540 | 14,510 | 16,920 | 21,650 | 30,270 |
| 95-99 | 1,743 | 2,365 | 3,185 | 3,910 | 4,315 | 5,145 | 6,840 |
| 100\& up | 155 | 195 | 290 | 430 | 555 | 645 | 800 |
| Total | 2,822,400 | 2,874,370 | 2,991,800 | 3,095,075 | 3,182,815 | 3,232,540 | 3,238,415 |

Female Population by 5-Year Age Groups

| Age Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Census } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 175,052 | 170,455 | 179,415 | 182,855 | 184,880 | 184,680 | 182,735 |
| 5-9 | 180,331 | 177,655 | 177,990 | 186,525 | 189,600 | 189,715 | 186,920 |
| 10-14 | 183,695 | 184,680 | 187,195 | 186,745 | 195,260 | 196,175 | 193,715 |
| 15-19 | 194,406 | 185,255 | 191,170 | 193,045 | 191,955 | 198,750 | 197,485 |
| 20-24 | 189,655 | 186,270 | 182,490 | 187,635 | 188,870 | 185,860 | 190,050 |
| 25-29 | 182,998 | 182,110 | 183,970 | 179,630 | 184,065 | 183,255 | 178,280 |
| 30-34 | 171,227 | 181,405 | 185,650 | 186,625 | 181,805 | 184,650 | 181,785 |
| 35-39 | 170,709 | 171,870 | 187,050 | 190,655 | 191,245 | 184,470 | 185,145 |
| 40-44 | 188,600 | 170,060 | 175,900 | 190,730 | 193,960 | 192,735 | 183,805 |
| 45-49 | 219,088 | 186,380 | 172,795 | 177,995 | 192,625 | 193,850 | 190,645 |
| 50-54 | 217,823 | 216,335 | 187,230 | 173,195 | 178,370 | 191,955 | 192,025 |
| 55-59 | 193,034 | 214,245 | 214,315 | 185,385 | 171,575 | 176,435 | 189,590 |
| 60-64 | 158,069 | 187,120 | 209,210 | 209,455 | 181,495 | 167,820 | 172,470 |
| 65-69 | 117,861 | 150,440 | 179,575 | 201,190 | 201,880 | 174,970 | 161,765 |
| 70-74 | 92,400 | 109,480 | 141,270 | 169,085 | 189,880 | 190,865 | 165,600 |
| 75-79 | 79,071 | 82,810 | 99,480 | 128,770 | 154,795 | 174,445 | 175,780 |
| 80-84 | 69,512 | 66,220 | 70,530 | 85,285 | 111,280 | 134,535 | 152,410 |
| 85-89 | 49,277 | 49,325 | 48,130 | 52,040 | 63,875 | 84,355 | 103,240 |
| 90-94 | 23,887 | 27,090 | 28,525 | 29,070 | 32,105 | 39,195 | 51,210 |
| 95-99 | 6,867 | 8,065 | 9,650 | 10,665 | 11,065 | 12,350 | 15,525 |
| 100\& up | 1,024 | 1,375 | 1,740 | 2,195 | 2,510 | 2,665 | 3,040 |
| Total | 2,864,586 | 2,908,645 | 3,013,280 | 3,108,775 | 3,193,095 | 3,243,730 | 3,253,220 |

Total Population Change, 5-Year Intervals, by Period and Cumulatively

|  | Census <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total <br> Population | $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 6 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 8 3 , 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 5 , 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 3 , 8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 7 5 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 7 6 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 9 1 , 6 3 5}$ |
| 5-year Num. Change | 96,029 | 222,065 | 198,770 | 172,060 | 100,360 | 15,365 |  |
| 5-year Pct. Change | $1.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |  |
| Cumulative Num. Change | 96,029 | 318,094 | 516,864 | 688,924 | 789,284 | 804,649 |  |
| Cumulative Pct. Change | $1.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |  |

Total Population Change by Components of Change, 5-year Intervals

| Component | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 -}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}-$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}-$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |  |
| Births | 349,945 | 357,703 | 365,936 | 370,865 | 374,184 | 375,074 |
| Deaths | 239,188 | 248,595 | 262,773 | 283,651 | 310,142 | 337,373 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Increase | 110,757 | 109,108 | 103,163 | 87,214 | 64,042 | 37,701 |
| Net Migration | $-14,728$ | 112,957 | 95,607 | 84,846 | 36,318 | $-22,336$ |
| Total Change | $\mathbf{9 6 , 0 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 , 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 , 7 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 6 5}$ |

## County Projections, 2010-2040

Across the full 30 -year period of these projections, it is predicted that 57 of Wisconsin's 72 counties will have a population at 2040 that is higher than it was at 2010.


Most of the strong-gaining counties are in metropolitan statistical areas or are ones that adjoin metropolitan areas (e.g., Polk, Jefferson, Walworth), or are projected to have strong natural increase (e.g., Clark, Menominee, Trempealeau, Vernon).

In contrast, most of the counties that are projected to lose population, or have very low growth rates, are in the northern part of the state. These counties, which currently have higher percentages of older residents, will be most affected by natural decrease as time progresses. This pattern of some counties losing population is not unprecedented; for example, for the $1980-2010$ period, 12 counties lost population.

Looking only at the 2010 - 2040 change masks the growth patterns within the 30 -year time frame. Certain counties will reach population peaks prior to the end year, then decline as mortality has a greater effect in later years. However, there are a few that, based on the projections, are predicted to decline and stay below their 2010 Census counts.

| Year of Peak Projected Population |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Year | Counties |
| 2010 | Buffalo, Price, Wood |
| 2015 | Bayfield, Pepin, Rusk |
| 2020 | Ashland |
| 2025 | Adams, Barron, Crawford, Door, Florence, Grant, Green Lake, Iron, <br> Kewaunee, Langlade, Lincoln, Manitowoc, Marinette, Marquette, Oneida, <br> Sawyer, Vilas, Waupaca |
| 2030 | Burnett, Columbia, Dodge, Douglas, Fond du Lac, Forest, Green, Iowa, <br> Jackson, Juneau, Menominee, Oconto, Ozaukee, Polk, Racine, Richland, <br> Shawano, Sheboygan, Taylor, Washburn, Waukesha, Waushara |
| 2035 | Brown, Calumet, Chippewa, Clark, Dane, Dunn, Eau Claire, Jefferson, <br> Kenosha, La Crosse, Lafayette, Marathon, Milwaukee, Monroe, Outagamie, <br> Pierce, Portage, Rock, St. Croix, Sauk, Trempealeau, Vernon, Walworth, <br> Washington, Winnebago |
| 2040 |  |

## Fastest-Growing and Largest Counties

Saint Croix County is projected to be the fastest-growing county, in terms of percentage change, through 2040, increasing by $41 \%$. As a component county of the Minneapolis-Saint Paul metropolitan area, it also ranked as Wisconsin's top-growing county from 1980 to 2010.

Calumet County-containing much of the suburban growth southeast of Appleton-is predicted to be the second-fastest growing county, increasing by $31 \%$ by 2040 .

Dane County, projected to be the sixth largest gainer in percentage terms, is expected to experience the highest numeric growth in the state through 2040.

| Fastest Growing Counties (by Percent), |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010-2040 |  |  |  |  |

Among the state's largest counties, Milwaukee will continue its position as the most populous in the state and is projected to gain about 70,000 additional residents, increasing to more than 1 million residents. The four largest counties-Milwaukee,

Dane, Waukesha, and Brown-are predicted to maintain their ranked position, but Outagamie County is expected to pass Racine to become the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest. In addition, Kenosha County is likely to exchange places with Winnebago, and Washington County will increase over time to surpass Marathon and become the $10^{\text {th }}$ largest county.

| Largest Counties (by Size at 2040), |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| County <br> Name | Census <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change | Size <br> Rank, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Size <br> Rank, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| Milwaukee | 947,735 | $1,016,250$ | 68,515 | $7.2 \%$ | 1 | 1 |
| Dane | 488,073 | 606,620 | 118,547 | $24.3 \%$ | 2 | 2 |
| Waukesha | 389,891 | 455,720 | 65,829 | $16.9 \%$ | 3 | 3 |
| Brown | 248,007 | 312,320 | 64,313 | $25.9 \%$ | 4 | 4 |
| Outagamie | 176,695 | 215,290 | 38,595 | $21.8 \%$ | 6 | 5 |
| Racine | 195,408 | 213,760 | 18,352 | $9.4 \%$ | 5 | 6 |
| Kenosha | 166,426 | 209,670 | 43,244 | $26.0 \%$ | 8 | 7 |
| Winnebago | 166,994 | 193,130 | 26,136 | $15.7 \%$ | 7 | 8 |
| Rock | 160,331 | 182,860 | 22,529 | $14.1 \%$ | 9 | 9 |
| Washington | 131,887 | 163,890 | 32,003 | $24.3 \%$ | 11 | 10 |

## Counties with Greatest Population Decline

As mentioned earlier, 15 counties are projected to lose population between 2010 and 2040. The ten with the predicted greatest percentage decline are shown below.

Population losses will be due to both rising natural decrease (i.e., the number of deaths exceeding births) and reduced net in-migration, or actual out-migration.

| Counties with Greatest Percent Decline, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 -2040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County <br> Name |  |  |  |  |  | Census <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Projected <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| Door | 27,785 | 26,620 | $-1,165$ | $-4.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo | 13,587 | 13,000 | -587 | $-4.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood | 74,749 | 71,150 | $-3,599$ | $-4.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashland | 16,157 | 15,315 | -842 | $-5.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pepin | 7,469 | 6,885 | -584 | $-7.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron | 5,916 | 5,420 | -496 | $-8.4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bayfield | 15,014 | 13,725 | $-1,289$ | $-8.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florence | 4,423 | 4,030 | -393 | $-8.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rusk | 14,755 | 13,310 | $-1,445$ | $-9.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price | 14,159 | 11,645 | $-2,514$ | $-17.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age Distribution: Counties' 65-and-over Population

As noted in the state section, the projections indicate that the number of people age 65 and over will almost double numerically, and their share of the populace will rise from $13.7 \%$ to $23.7 \%$, from 2010 to 2040 . All counties will experience growth in their senior populations, ranging from $39 \%$ to $175 \%$ increases.

The progression toward much older populations can be viewed across time by a summarization of the percentage of each county's residents, in various ranges, at tenyear intervals in the projections series. Whereas nearly half of the counties had fewer than $15 \%$ of their populations age 65 and over in 2010, and none had greater than $30 \%$ (the highest being $26 \%$ ), by 2040 no county will have fewer than $15 \%$ of its population being elderly, and one-third will have elderly populations greater than $30 \%$.

| Age 65 and Over <br> as Pct. of <br> Population | Number of <br> Counties, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Number of <br> Counties, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | Number of <br> Counties, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | Number of <br> Counties, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-15 \%$ | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| $15-20 \%$ | 27 | 30 | 4 | 2 |
| $20-25 \%$ | 12 | 20 | 27 | 25 |
| $25-30 \%$ | 2 | 10 | 19 | 20 |
| $30-35 \%$ | 0 | 5 | 14 | 14 |
| $35-40 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 |
| Greater than $40 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |

The two maps below illustrate the substantial change in the 65 -and-over population of each county across the 30-year projections period. Counties in the far northern part of the state, in general, are projected to have more than 3 out of every 10 residents being seniors.


## Municipal Projections, 2013-2040

Wisconsin's cities, villages and towns vary substantially in population size. At the 2013 estimates, cities ranged from 482 (Bayfield) to 596,500 (Milwaukee); villages from 60 (Big Falls, Waupaca County) to 35,710 (Menomonee Falls, Waukesha County), and towns from 39 (Wilkinson, Rusk County) to 21,580 (Grand Chute, Outagamie County). Given that there are 1,852 municipalities in Wisconsin currently, it is difficult to summarize such a disparate set of communities. However, some broad statements and data summaries can be crafted, as laid out below.

## Projected Population Change in Cities, Villages and Towns

Aggregated by municipality type, all three classes are projected to gain more than $10 \%$ in population from 2013 through 2040. ${ }^{2}$ Cities, which now constitute more than $55 \%$ of the state's population, will add the most residents numerically and will increase $11 \%$. Villages, which account for more than $15 \%$ of Wisconsin's population currently, are predicted to gain more than 191,000 residents, increasing by nearly $22 \%$. Towns, now containing almost $30 \%$ of the state's inhabitants, are projected to add more than 233,000 new people, an increase of $14 \%$.

| Municipality <br> Type | Count at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Estimate <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Projection <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities | 190 | $3,173,540$ | $3,523,640$ | 350,100 | $11.0 \%$ |
| Villages | 406 | 874,820 | $1,065,950$ | 191,130 | $21.8 \%$ |
| Towns | 1,256 | $1,668,750$ | $1,902,045$ | 233,295 | $14.0 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 1 7 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 9 1 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 4 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 \%}$ |

The shares of the state's population in each class of municipality are projected to shift only slightly through 2040, with villages increasing about $1 \%$ and cities decreasing by a similar percent. The share living in towns at 2040 is expected to remain very similar to that at 2013.

| Municipality <br> Type | Share, <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Share, <br> Projection <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cities | $55.5 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| Villages | $15.5 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ |
| Towns | $29.0 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ |

[^1]
## Projected Population Change in by Size of Municipality

Wisconsin has a preponderance of small-sized municipalities. More than one-half of these local governments (978 of 1,852) contain fewer than 1,000 residents; in aggregate, they encompass only $10 \%$ of the state's population. By contrast, the largest $5 \%$ of municipalities (about 10,000 people and above) are home to $55 \%$ of the state's populace.

As can be seen in the table below, through 2040, the combined population in municipalities that currently have up to 500 residents is projected to remain virtually the same. Of these 396 communities, approximately $42 \%$ are predicted to gain people, $56 \%$ to lose people ( $2 \%$ will see no change in population). In the intervening years, the aggregate population will rise slightly through 2030, but then decline to 2040; even at 2030, the change for this group of communities will only be $+4 \%$.

The strongest percentage gains are expected in municipalities that currently have 2,000 to 50,000 residents. The projected population gain in these 433 municipalities will be more than two-thirds of the state's total growth. This pattern matches previous time periods; over the preior 20 to 30 years, the largest numeric and percentage gains occurred in mid-sized municipalities.

| Municipality <br> Size Range | Count at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Estimate <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Projection <br> $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | Numeric <br> Change | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 500 | 396 | 123,555 | 123,605 | 50 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $500-1,000$ | 582 | 431,040 | 465,990 | 34,950 | $8.1 \%$ |
| $1,000-2,000$ | 429 | 593,468 | 658,540 | 65,072 | $11.0 \%$ |
| $2,000-5,000$ | 267 | 813,528 | 953,180 | 139,652 | $17.2 \%$ |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 88 | 637,174 | 762,885 | 125,711 | $19.7 \%$ |
| $10,000-50,000$ | 78 | $1,548,815$ | $1,808,260$ | 259,445 | $16.8 \%$ |
| More than 50,000 | 12 | $1,569,530$ | $1,719,175$ | 149,645 | $9.5 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $\mathbf{1 , 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 7 1 7 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 9 1 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 4 , 5 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 \%}$ |

## Largest and Fastest-Growing Municipalities

Wisconsin's twelve largest municipalities, with current populations of 50,000 or more, contain more than one-fourth of the state's populace. As a group, they are projected to grow by $9.5 \%$ from 2013 through 2040; their aggregated numeric gain of almost 150,000 will be approximately one-fifth of the state' s increase.

The table below lists these largest cities in size order, as predicted at 2040. Only Racine is expected to experience a loss of population, and the decline will be slight. Furthermore, Kenosha is projected to have a stronger growth rate than Green Bay, thus becoming the $3^{\text {rd }}$ largest city (surpassing Green Bay in 2020). Similarly, Waukesha's growth will move it past Appleton and Racine around 2030 to become the $5^{\text {th }}$ largest. Finally, Janesville, Oshkosh and Eau Claire may change in order, although their projected populations at 2040 are so close that it is more reasonable to say that they will be in the $8^{\text {th }}$ through $10^{\text {th }}$ positions.

| Largest Municipalities (by Size at 2040), |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2010-2040 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The state's projected fastest-growing municipalities, by percentage change, are listed in the table below. All can be described as suburban communities, within commuting distance of large cities either in Wisconsin or Minnesota.

| Fastest Growing Municipalities (by Percent),2010-2040 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality | County/ Counties | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimate } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Projection } \\ & 2040 \end{aligned}$ | Numeric Change | Percent Change |
| T Lawrence | Brown | 4,511 | 7,965 | 3,454 | 77\% |
| V Hobart | Brown | 7,070 | 12,480 | 5,410 | 77\% |
| T Ledgeview | Brown | 7,074 | 12,480 | 5,406 | 76\% |
| V Sherwood | Calumet | 2,763 | 4,715 | 1,952 | 71\% |
| T Harrison | Calumet | 1,282 | 2,185 | 903 | 70\% |
| V Harrison | Calumet/Outagamie | 9,708 | 16,550 | 6,842 | 70\% |
| T Richmond | Saint Croix | 3,339 | 5,385 | 2,046 | 61\% |
| T Hammond | Saint Croix | 2,136 | 3,440 | 1,304 | 61\% |
| V Howard | Brown | 18,348 | 29,370 | 11,022 | 60\% |
| T Exeter | Green | 2,041 | 3,230 | 1,189 | 58\% |
| V Johnson Creek | Jefferson | 2,818 | 4,455 | 1,637 | 58\% |
| C Hudson | Saint Croix | 13,187 | 20,780 | 7,593 | 58\% |
| T Ixonia | Jefferson | 4,540 | 7,145 | 2,605 | 57\% |
| V Somerset | Saint Croix | 2,642 | 4,100 | 1,458 | 55\% |
| T Greenville | Outagamie | 10,773 | 16,390 | 5,617 | 52\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The state and county projections use the 2010 Census as their "point of departure" for calculating into the future. The municipal projections use the most recent Demographic Services Center's estimates as their basis. Additional descriptions of the methodologies and their differences are explained in a separate document.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As mentioned in the "Highlights" section, the state and county projections use the 2010 Census as their "point of departure" for calculating into the future. The municipal projections use the most recent Demographic Services Center's estimates (January 1, 2013) as their basis. In addition, the Village of Harrison incorporated from a portion of the Town of Harrison, Calumet County in March 2013. In order to create a consistent data set of municipalities across the projections time frame, proxy estimates for the village and town remnant were created for January 1, 2013.

